Non-Keplerian Trajectory Planning Via Heuristic-Guided Objective Reachability Analysis

David A. Surovik Daniel J. Scheeres The University of Colorado at Boulder



6th International Conference on Astrodynamics Tools and Techniques March 16, 2016



Irregular shapes and proportionally large external forces complicate mission design by producing highly sensitive, non-periodic motion.



Spherical Body

Asteroid Itokawa (Highly elongated)

Martian moon Phobos (Strong tidal forces)

NASA GNC Tech Report

- A central need is "the ability to **rapidly design efficient and innovative trajectories**."
- "...more complex dynamical models must be used to perform preliminary designs."



Test Scenario



System: Comet 67/P Churyumov-Gerasimenko (highly non-spherical)

Objective: perform close-range imaging of candidate landing sites A, C, and J with four different viewing geometries under appropriate solar phasing

Uncertainty in state estimation (10 m, 1 mm/s) and gravity model (64 vs 2500 vertices)









Re-pose motion planning problem as regulation of an abstract state by an intermittently acting impulsive controller, via:

- 1. Robust predictive model for abstract outcomes
- 2. Heuristic search of single-impulse reachable set
- 3. Reactive receding-horizon implementation



Key Concepts



Abstraction

- Trajectories are incidental; objectives/constraints are fundamental.
- Separate treatment of two sequential problems can cause nuanced, unintuitive solutions to be overlooked (e.g. low-energy lunar transfers).



Reachability

- In lieu of tractable reference solutions, reduce the large, complex design space via accessibility
- Naturally facilitates continuous re-planning, opportunism, and robustness to uncertainty







Kepler problem results (spherical body). Position on map: selection of initial velocity Color: safety outcome of resulting trajectory.







Non-Keplerian system: Asteroid Itokawa (highly elongated) *Desired operation:* close-range fly-over of target sites





Non-Keplerian system: Asteroid Itokawa (highly elongated) *Desired operation:* close-range fly-over of target sites





Non-Keplerian system: Asteroid Itokawa (highly elongated) *Desired operation:* close-range fly-over of target sites





Non-Keplerian system: Martian moon Phobos (strong tides) *Desired operation:* close-range fly-over of target sites





Non-Keplerian system: Martian moon Phobos (strong tides) *Desired operation:* close-range fly-over of target sites







Heuristic-guided refinement

- Numerically propagate results of sample control inputs
- Bias distribution of next sample set toward promising areas



For visualization

For objective maximization during online planning



System: Comet 67/P Churyumov-Gerasimenko (highly non-spherical)

Objective: perform close-range imaging of candidate landing sites A, C, and J with four different viewing geometries under appropriate solar phasing



Nominal trajectories associated with reachability analysis domains

















Decision metric: balance new progress within planning horizon against heuristic indicators of future prospects





Robust Planning



 State Transition Matrix gives a linearized description of divergence

$$\Phi(t;t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{f}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}(\tau)} \mathrm{d}\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{\mathbf{rr}}(t) & \phi_{\mathbf{rv}}(t) \\ \phi_{\mathbf{vr}}(t) & \phi_{\mathbf{vv}}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider position deviation only

 $oldsymbol{\Lambda}(t)=\phi_{f rr}(t;t_0)\delta{f r}(t_0)+\phi_{f rv}(t;t_0)\delta{f v}(t_0)$

 Describe largest deviation magnitude expected under given uncertainty

 $\Lambda(t) = \lambda_{max}\left(\phi_{\mathbf{rr}}
ight)\sigma_{r} + \lambda_{max}\left(\phi_{\mathbf{rv}}
ight)\sigma_{v}$

- Predict the worst-case outcome under an anticipated amount of deviation
 - $d = \eta \Lambda$ (scaled) $d = \zeta$ (constant)



shrink regions by anticipated deviation d





System: Comet 67/P Churyumov-Gerasimenko (highly non-spherical)

Objective: perform close-range imaging of candidate landing sites A, C, and J with four different viewing geometries under appropriate solar phasing

Uncertainty in state estimation (10 m, 1 mm/s) and gravity model (64 vs 2500 vertices)









- Heuristics and a black-box predictive model enable a sampling-based approach to design complex operations in non-Keplerian systems without exhaustive search
- Single-impulse reachability analyses are useful for creating visualizations that aid preliminary mission design and analysis
- Receding-horizon implementation can be conducted onboard to construct a many-impulse solution profile
- A balance of robustness and feedback can be used to mitigate realistic levels of error in such a scenario



This work was supported by a NASA Office of the Chief Technologist's Space Technology Research Fellowship.











Publications



- [1] **D. A. Surovik** and D. J. Scheeres, "Reactive and robust paradigms for autonomous mission design at small bodies," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics,* (submitted).
- [2] **D. A. Surovik** and D. J. Scheeres, "Abstraction predictive control for chaotic spacecraft orbit design," in *IFAC Conference on Nonlinear Model Predictive Control*, Sep. 2015, (67% acceptance rate).
- [3] **D. A. Surovik** and D. J. Scheeres, "Heuristic search and receding-horizon planning in complex spacecraft orbit domains," in *International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling*, Jun. 2015, (33% acceptance rate).
- [4] **D. A. Surovik** and D. J. Scheeres, "Adaptive reachability analysis to achieve mission objectives in strongly non-keplerian systems," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 468–477, Mar. 2015.