

Geant4 high-accuracy simulations of the ISS radiation environment

and comparison to astronaut dosimetry

Progress Report



European Space Agency

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For Official Use





- 1. Motivation, background of project: manned interplanetary missions
- 2. The radiation environment and ISS
- 3. Geant4 modelling of ISS:
 - a. MULASSIS
 - b. SSAT / Shieldose2
 - c. SSAT / MULASSIS
- 4. Comparison to astronaut dosimetry
- 5. Conclusions and applications to interplanetary missions

Motivation and background



ISS



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use



- 1. MULASSIS was used to simulate
 - a. GCRs with the ISO-15390 model
 - b. Trapped protons with the AP-8 model
 - c. SPEs with ESP-PSYCHIC model
- 2. DOSIS I: DLR project, cross-comparison across many instruments
 - a. Thermo Luminescence Detectors (TLD)
 - b. Columbia Resin No. 39 (CR-39)
- 3. ISS: 350 km, Columbus module, summer 2009, 136 days

Experimental setup: MULASSIS



- MULASSIS v1.22 compiled with Geant4.9.5.p01, physics scenario "hadron-em-In "
- 2. Geometry from Ersmark (2006), with some modification from TAS
- 3. Small statistical scatter (100 000 events)



Table 1: Composition of slab model, and most of shell of 3-D model of Columbus

Material	aluminium	Nextel cloth	Kevlar + MLI	aluminium	equipment racks	phantom
Thickness (cm)	0.25	0.55	0.48	0.50	100	30

MULASSIS results 1



- Shielding characteristics of ISS:
- Very effective shielding of SPE flux
- Note the effect of the equipment racks



Total Ionising Dose Deposited (rad)

MULASSIS results 2: GCRs





ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

SSAT and Shieldose: Setup





- Thick shields!
 - Minimum 10 g/cm²,
 - peak around 23 g/cm²,
 - half above 28 g/cm²

 Geometry adapted from Ersmark (2006)

SSAT results





- SSAT folded with SHIELDOSE-2, for trapped protons
- Obtain 93 µG/d
- Note uneven shielding, which should be more
 - Dose underestimation

ISS dosimetry





Picture from Christine Hill's Diploma thesis (2010), DLR DOSIS I experiment

- Important variation from nearby equipment!
- Consistent with previous results (G. Reitz, 2005), which indicate 80-100 $\mu Gy/d$ from trapped protons, rest from GCRs

ESA Presentation | 10/05/2012 | Slide 10

Results comparison





- 1. SSAT/SHIELDOSE2 within experimental scatter for trapped protons
- 2. Differences in spatial distribution can be accounted for partly with current models
- 3. Internal geometry likely accounts for rest.

Conclusions



- 1. First results with ISS geometry consistent with dosimetry
- 2. In-depth comparison of MC with dosimetry to follow
- 3. Next step: MULASSIS results into SSAT: GCR component; full Monte Carlo with GRAS
- 4. Validation of modelling tools for interplanetary missions
- 5. First lessons:
 - a. In manned missions, internal arrangement of spacecraft can be critical
 - b. Very high shielding levels are already achieved
 - c. GCR long-term dose is the main challenge