

## INSPECTION TRAJECTORIES AND GNC DESIGN

Dr. Jesús Gil, Eric Reinthal, Lukas Steindorf GUIDANCE, NAVIGATION AND CONTROL (TEC-SAG)

October 2017

European Space Agency

## **Table of Contents**



- GNC challenges
- GNC architecture
- □ Trade-offs Results
- Conclusions



## **GNC Main Objectives & Constraints**

### • KEEP COST LOW

- Take pictures of Envisat with TBC cm/pixel resolution
- KEEP COST LOW !
- Keep SC always in passively safe trajectories
- KEEP COST LOW !!!

**European Space Agency** 

+

4

## **GNC Requirements and design drivers**



- Estimate the relative position of chaser wrt target with sufficient accuracy to take pictures of Envisat during the inspection orbit
- Ensure passively safe trajectories that permit imaging Envisat with sufficient accuracy
  - No ground interaction during inspection phases
  - No translation manoeuvres after injection in inspection orbit

- Use of EP for the injection in inspection trajectories
  - In-plane drift vs. out-of-plane separation
  - RCS vs. propellantless angular momentum management
- Initial knowledge of the target absolute orbit
  - Based on target TLE (not very old)

**European Space Agency** 

## e.inspector FDS-GNC task sharing



- Ground-based manoeuvre plan (translational guidance)
  - Injection in inspection trajectory
  - Inspection phase is ballistic flight (no thruster activation)
- On-board relative navigation for attitude pointing during inspection phase



## No relative navigation



- Ground-based attitude pointing in a passive safe inspection trajectory
- Drift below (nominal chaser sma 100 m below Envisat)
- **Relative initial error (1\sigma): 50 m (V-bar) ; 1 m (H-bar) ; 5 m (R-bar)**



### **Relative navigation**



- On-board attitude pointing in a passive safe inspection trajectory
- Centroiding image processing
- Line-of-Sight only navigation (fusing also GPS and gyro-stellar attitude)





Slide 7

## **Inspection trajectories #1: in-plane**



□ V-bar hopping, followed by v-bar drift



Slide 8

## Inspection trajectories #2: helicoid (1/2)



Safe v-bar drift in combination with relative eccentricity/inclination-vector separation



Slide 9

### 

## Inspection trajectories #2: helicoid (2/2)



Projection in different planes



In-plane motion

**Out-of-Plane motion** 

+

Slide 10

**European Space Agency** 

## Inspection trajectories #3: hybrid (1/2)



 V-bar hopping, followed by eccentricity/inclination-vector separation (combination of Options 1 & 2)



**European Space Agency** 

1+1

Inspection trajectories #3: hybrid (2/2)



□ In-plane projection



Slide 12

+

## In-plane inspection navigation analysis



Accurate knowledge to ensure proper pointing provided that the initial knowledge errors are met



# Out-of-plane inspection navigation analysis (1/3)

- Very sensitive to initial conditions
- Black-out periods during closest fly-around



I II ≥ II = + II = ⊆ II II = Ξ II = M II = II = II W



# Out-of-plane inspection navigation analysis (2/3)



- □ Single filter tuning for very different phases
- Strong impact of black-outs in the navigation during closest approach



Slide 15

# Out-of-plane inspection navigation analysis (3/3)

□ Increase of initial knowledge error does not impact the performances





## **Baseline Inspection Trajectories**



Reducing minimum distance to target step-wise



Slide 17

· = ■ ► = = + ■ + ■ = ≔ = 1 ■ ■ = = = = ■ ■ ■ ■ = = **:** ■ **! ! ! ! !** 

## **Inspection Trajectories**

esa

- EP introduces complexity in the design of the injection manoeuvers
- 1st e-i separation
  - Ensure drift away
- 2nd sma separation
- Long injection because e-i can only be applied twice per orbit



## Equipment

- □ iADCS400 (Hyperion Technologies)
  - 3x reaction wheels
  - 3x magnetorquers
  - 1x star tracker
- □ GPS Receiver + antenna (DLR)
- IMU (Memsense)
- IM200 Imager (Hyperion Technologies)
- □ 6x SS200 Sun Sensors (Hyperion Technologies)













= II 🛌 == ++ II == 🔚 == II II == == 🖂 🛏 🙆 II == == 🕅 🗰

## Conclusions



- On-board vision-based navigation and attitude guidance required for proper imaging of target
- □ Further analysis of LOS-only navigation to confirm performances
- EP maneuvers during inspection phase imposes novel methods to perform inspection (rendezvous)
  - Complex injection: *e-i* separation and then *a*
  - Combination of in-plane and out-of-plane trajectories might be the best solution to simplify inspection
- Further analysis of sensitivity of out-of-plane trajectories to injection errors and perturbations

