EagleEye TSP Porting to HWIL Configuration (RTB)

Final project presentation

12.12.2017

Presenter: Dharma Teja Srungavruksham





Overview_

- Background
- Team
- Goals
- Execution
- Results
- Future





Background_

- EagleEye is an ESA reference mission for an earth observation satellite with a single imaging payload (GoldenEye).
- EagleEye runs on the ESA Avionics Test Bench in SVF and RTB configurations.
 - Central Software (CSW) representing the on-board software of the satellite.
 - Software Validation Facility (SVF) simulating the spacecraft environment, hardware and ground segment.
- EagleEye TSP (Time and Space Partitioning) CSW v5 provided as CFI running on TSIM3 for LEON3 in the SVF configuration.







Background – SVF V4.2

- The environment includes models for the onboard computer (OBC), payload, sensors and actuators.
- EagleEye runs on TSIM emulator of ObcModel
- EagleEye SW and ObcModel use shared memory to communicate TM/TC packets and MILBUS data.
- Test executor consists of TM/TC database.
- Test scripts load the database to send TCs.







Background – EagleEye CSW v5

- Time and Space Paritioning (TSP) through the use of the XtratuM separation microkernel.
- Five separate Partitions:
 - DMS and Payload implemented in Ada and running on GNAT/ORK+.
 - AOCS and FDIR implemented in C and running on XAL.
 - IO partition implemented in C and running on RTEMS.





SSF.

Background – EagleEye CSW v5

DMS

- Handles following PUS services: Mission manager, Data handling, Telemetry store, Power control, Thermal control, TSP FDIR
- Payload partition handles payload PUS services.
- AOCS handles S/C modes and AOCS modes.
- FDIR is the system partition which has access to all memory areas, it also handles TSP functionality.
- IO is responsible for MILBUS and TM/TC communication.







Background – EagleEye CSW v5_

- XtratuM, It provides services to partition such as
 - Inter-Partition communication (IPC),
 - Partition health monitoring,
 - Changing state of each partition and
 - Changing state of the system.
 - Partition schedule plan switching
 - Memory read/write access.
 - Virtual interrupts for partition state change.
 - Timer services
- Different partition schedule plans can be configured during compilation.



SSF



Team – Project Consortium_

- Project implemented by the same consortium responsible for CSW v5, consisting of SSF, Fentiss and UPM.
- Fentiss provide XtratuM and RTEMS upgrade for LEON 3 and LEON 4 HW platforms.
- UPM provide GNAT/ORK+ upgrade for LEON 3 and LEON 4 HW platforms.
- SSF provide the EagleEye CSW upgrades.

SSF	Space Systems Finland Ltd Kappelitie 6B FI-02200 Espoo Finland ETSI Telecomunicación UPM Avda Complutense, 30 E-28040 Madrid Spain				
POLITÉCNICA					
fent	Edificio 9B - Universidad <u>Politécnica</u> de Valencia Planta Baja, <u>Despacho</u> 3 Camino de Vera s/n E-46022 Valencia Spain				





Project Goals

- HW platforms (NGMP).
- LEON3/LEON4 HW)
- Upgrade SVF to support HWIL configuration with the HW platforms.
- Upgrade XtratuM, RTEMS and GNAT/ORK+.
- Upgrade EagleEye CSW to support multicore execution of partitions on LEON4.
- Ensure all existing EagleEye validation tests execute successfully.
- Provide new test scenarios to demonstrate benefits of multi-core utilisation.
- Adapt MILBUS schedule to partition schedule for all platforms.

Port EagleEye CSW V5 to run on LEON3 GR-RASTA-105 and LEON4 GR-CPCI-LEON4-N2X

EagleEye CSW version that can be compiled for different platforms (i.e. LEON3-TSIM,





Execution – WP

- Management Work Package continue for the duration of the activity.
- Preliminary Design:
 - Fentiss perform XtratuM and RTEMS upgrades and testing.
 - UPM perform GNAT/ORK+ upgrades and testing.
 - SSF design CSW and SVF architecture and prepare all documentation for PDR.
- Detailed Design:
 - SSF perform CSW and SVF upgrades.
 - SSF responsible for integration supported by Fentiss.
- Demonstration:
 - SSF provide multi-core demonstration scenarios supported by Fentiss.







Execution – Output Documents

- CSW and SVF design documents
- CSW and SVF build and installation documents
- CSW and SVF unit test plan documents and reports
- CSW system test plan and reports
- CSW integration test plan and reports
- Consolidated CSW requirements document
- SVF requirements document
- Demonstration test plan and report
- CSW budget report
- Final report

All test reports are html documents generated by the python test scripts.





Execution – SVF V6

- The RTB configuration consists of all the SVF models without OBSIF model and adding MIL1553 model
- It uses a MIL-1553 PCI card to communicate with the OBC HW.
- Modified the python TM/TC interface script to send and receive UDP packets using Ethernet port.







Execution – Eagle Eye CSW V6_

- Retains all the SW components and partitions of CSW V5.
- Can be compiled for three platforms:
 - LEON3-TSIM
 - LEON3 HW
 - LEON4 HW
- XtratuM upgraded and compiled for three platforms. Added virtual interrupts for IPC.
- RTEMS upgraded and compiled for three platforms. Added Ethernet and MILBUS drivers.
- GNAT/ORK+ upgraded and supports all three platforms.







Execution – EagleEye CSW V6_

Changes to IO partition

- Using RTEMS 4.11 device drivers for Ethernet and MILBUS.
- SVF configuration retains the MILBUS and Ethernet implementation.
- In RTB configuration the MILBUS list is executed on a dedicated HW.
- A task handles reading and writing to MILBUS slot addresses, which is in sync with the execution of list on MILBUS HW.
- TM/TC UDP packets use Ethernet interface.
- SOIS implementation to separate MILBUS and application layer.
- Using IPC virtual interrupts to receive communication from other partitions







Execution – EagleEye CSW V6_

Changes to FDIR partition

- Using IPC virtual interrupts to receive communication from other partitions.
- Health monitoring is now timed for every MAF cycle.
- Partition's HK data is timed to be sent every 1 second.
- Updated to handle partition schedule plan switching TC.







Execution – EagleEye CSW V6_

Changes to DMS partition

- Using IPC virtual interrupts to receive communication from other partitions.
- Updated to handle new PUS service related to partition plan switching.







Execution – Overall Changes

Milbus schedule for RTB configuration is changed to.



from



- demands extra memory.
- and write operations since, the list is executed by a dedicated MILBUS hardware.

Increase in RTEMS memory requirement as Ethernet related stack (semaphores, tasks)

MILBUS execution functionality of IO partition is replaced with simple MILBUS memory read





Execution – Budget report

Real-time measurements were carried out

- Stack measurement
- Task scheduling
- CPU load/margin
- MILBUS load/margin





Execution – Major obstacles

- counter resetting to zero, race conditions, task halting and heap overrun.
- these memory areas.

- configuration.
- Integrating new versions of RTEMS, XtratuM resulted in changes to existing APIs

Debugging run-time issues with DMS partition, we came across issues such as program

The minimum memory area aligned is 4KB using MMU by XtratuM, in LEON3 Ethernet device and other devices fall in a memory area which is less than 4KB. The XtratuM provides a workaround with IO ports configuration with APIs that can be used to access

Cold reset of IO partition (uses RTEMS 4.11) was not available right away, readonly and read-write memory areas had to be defined during compile time for this functionality.

LEON4 board by default doesn't handle denormal numbers, setting ns bit of FSR register during boot time was used. LEON3's FPU can flush denormal numbers to zero by design.

Synchronising MILBUS scheduling and IO partition's application scheduling for RTB

functions, using page table memory allocation, creating three platform configuration, etc.



Execution – Validation Tests

All Validation tests were performed for EagleEye running on all the three platforms, the tests are:

- Data Handling test
- Memory Management test
- TSP FDIR test
- Mission manger test
- AOCS acceptance test
- Power control test
- Thermal control test
- AOCS test





Result – Multicore Demonstration

- Three Multi-Core Demonstration Scenarios implemented
- Scenario 1: Core Redundancy



	▲ 50 ms	 ——50 ms——►	——50 ms——	7
1	Minor Frame 2	Minor Frame 3	Minor Frame 4	

ns—Þ	⊲ —25 ms—▶	4 —25 ms — ▶	⊲ —25 ms—▶	⊲ —25 ms—▶	⊲ —25 ms — ▶	4 —25 ms—▶
S	I/O	DMS	I/O	Payload	I/O	FDIR
			0			
	I/O		I/O		I/O	
	0					
CS		DMS		Payload		FDIR
	RTEMS 4.12	1 Ad	a ORK+ 2016			



SSF

Result – Multicore Demonstration

XAL (XM4)

Scenario 2: Improved Schedulability



►	∎50	ms	4 50	ms►	₫50	msÞ	
1	Minor	Frame 2	Minor F	Frame 3	Minor Frame 4		
ns—Þ	⊲ —25 ms—▶	∢ —25 ms — ▶	⊲ —25 ms—▶	∢ —25 ms—▶	4 —25 ms—▶	4 —25 ms —▶	
CS	I/O	DMS	I/O	Payload	I/O	FDIR	
		0	8		0		
	0	<u>.</u>	Ó	0	i i		
	0	0	8	0	0 0		
	<u>ا</u>	0	l/	0	I/	0	
	Pav	load					
DMS				FD	IR		
	<u> </u>	0	0		01		
	0	0	8	i i	0		
	RTEMS 4.1	1 Ac	la ORK+ 2016				





Result – Multicore Demonstration

Scenario 3: Improved FDIR Responsiveness



	50 ms▶		∮ 50 ms▶		▲ 50 ms		
e 1	Minor Frame 2		Minor Frame 3		Minor Frame 4		
5 ms—25 ms							
OCS	I/O	DMS	I/O	Payload	I/O	FDIR	
	8	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	1		
	!	•	0	0		0	
			1/0		I/O		
	· · · ·						
S	Paylo	bad 1	Payload 2				
DMS							
EDIR							
			0				
14)	RTEMS 4.1	1 Ad	la ORK+ 2016				





Recommendations for Future Work

- Studying Fixed priority partition scheduling feature of XtratuM in multi-core and single-core scenarios.
- Upgrade of DMS and Payload partitions to fully use SOIS.
- FDIR upgrade to handle partitions when run in multi-core.
- Analysing SMP feature of RTEMS in combination with TSP and in multi-core.
- Further analysis of different scheduling policies in Spacecraft on-board SW with multi-core.
- Replacing the complex DMS partition with a standard PUS library. Possibility of distributed PUS services handling by each partition.





Recommendations for Future Work

Many further possibilities for multi-core scenarios.
E.g. Demonstration of improved resource utilisation with multiple payloads.







Thank you_



