

## Evolvement of Augmented Reality System for AIT/AIV and Operations Four Use Cases



<u>Kaj Helin</u>, Jaakko Karjalainen Human factors, Virtual and Augmented reality VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd

http://www.youtube.com/user/VirtualAndAugmented/videos

AR/VR for European Space Programme @ESTEC 2.12.2019

## **Space related VR/MR/AR research ~15 years**



21 – Augmented reality

based S and ground

applications (ESA-AROGAN)





2005 - Multimodal astronaut virtual reality training prototype (View-of-thefuture)







2009 - Satellite assembly design in VE (ManuVAR)

2013 - Multimod

presence system

AR-

th Mars

09/12/2019 VTT - beyond the obvious



2016 - Augmented Reality for AIT, AIV and Orbit Operations (ESA-EdcAR)



2017 – AR supported installation of the opporary Stowage Rack in . ISS (WEKIT) 2018 – AR based Rover Maintenance in Mars Terrain Demonstrator (WEKIT)



2019 – ISS procedure view to Hololens (ESA-MobiPV4Hololens)

## **Timeline for development**







# **ESA-EdcAR**

Augmented Reality for AIT, AIV and Orbit Operations



- Observations
- Expert evaluation Number of the subjects: 14



https://youtu.be/djUdsQ5pY0s

https://www.vttresearch.com/media/news/augmented-reality-increases-maintenance-reliability-at-a-space-station

Note, Hololens video captures resolution is less than in real device

# Results and updates based on use case

### **Results from use case**

- System was working properly for the first Hololens application
- No issue with voice commands
- Sensor visualization was nice feature
- Symbols and safety symbols (ISO 7010:2012) are working nicely
  - ODF-symbols and layout should be supported in the future



#### Updates for next use case

- Full support for ARLEM (Draft Standard for an Augmented Reality Learning Experience Mode)
- The first draft for guidance to annotation
- Support for video and image in 3D space
- Automatic initialization from image marker



### **WEKIT** TSR installation to Space Station

Evaluation methods:

- System Usability Scale (SUS)
- Observations
- Expert evaluation

- ...

Number of the subjects: 39



https://youtu.be/fNEqOBMKhGg

# Results and updates based on use case

### **Results from use case**

- The 3D space UI and annotations were working properly without any delay and the image quality was good
- The main downside of the system is the narrow field of view, the user has to looking for information
- SUS score average: 68
  - According to validation studies, the acceptable SUS score is about 70 (Bangor et al., 2009; Brooke, 2013).



#### Updates for next use case

- The updated guidance to annotation
- Large area support
- No initialization needed
  - Calibration per Hololens per space
- Updated UI
- Updated sensor visualization
- Handling in the error situation



### **WEKIT** Moon/Mars rover maintenance support with AR

Evaluation methods:

- System Usability Scale (SUS)
- Observations
- Expert evaluation

- ...

Number of the subjects: 199



https://youtu.be/JRMLs9SYg6k

# **Results and updates based on use case**

### **Results from use case**

- System was working properly as prototype for large amount of subjects
- Updated UI and guidance makes task executing more effective
- Hololens limitation was still issue
- SUS score average: 69
  - According to validation studies, the acceptable SUS score is about 70

### **Conclusion from use cases**

- The 'one-size-fits-all' 3D UI does not work
- User has three options to interact with UI: (1) gestures, (2) voice commands and (3) clicker.
- Same analogy work with UI layout. User could choose: (1) list type or (2) card type

=> System was mature enough for ODF supported system (symbols and procedures)





## **ESA-MobiPV4Hololens**



https://youtu.be/c-DVoLT4n9c



# **Results from MobiPV4Hololens**

#### **User test with 5 users**

#### Main benefits

- Supports two hands-busy procedures
- Able to see introduction in next to the working area
- Images next to working area helps
- Text-to-speech supports procedure execution

#### Issues

- Usability/Comfort issues with Hololens
- Narrow FoV cuts some images
- Sometimes hard to read text

#### Demos in ISS ODF control board ~15 users

#### Main overall feedback

- It is working with standard mobiPV
- Voice commands are working
- Supporting system, not main app
- Hard to remember voice commands
- Narrow FoV and usability issues



# **Conclusion and next steps**

- As the AR-system has been already partially implemented to the space system and its usability has reached a reasonable level
- It can be suggested that the AR-system is potentially a useful tool for supporting and facilitating AIT/AIV and operations, even though the tool is still in prototyping phase
  - => AR features will be implemented for AIT/AIV and operations in September started contract<sup>1</sup>
- Also new devices e.g. Hololens 2 should solve most of the current usability issues
- AR features should be defined into update ODF e.g. for the future Gateway station













### Kaj Helin

Principal Scientist, Certified Project Manager IPMA Human factors, Virtual and Augmented reality VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd Kaj.helin@vtt.fi