

CocoSim: an integration hub for compilation and formal verification of Simulink/Stateflow

H. Bourbouh, G. Brat, P.-L. Garoche

ESA MBSE Workshop | September 28th-29th 2020 | Virtual

CONTEXT: CRITICAL EMBEDDED CONTROLLERS

- Core elements of runtime systems
- Designed with dataflow models
 - * validation through simulation/test
 - code generation
- Infinite behavior: endless loop

Designed by local composition:

- a linear controller
- combined with safety constructs





Most properties are analyzed locally.

Requirements \rightarrow Verification

MODELS AND SEMANTICS – WHICH MODELS TO DESIGN, COMPILE AND VERIFY CRITICAL CONTROL SYSTEMS?

Different models for differents uses:

- mode changes, discrete transitions: state machines, automata
- time-sampled systems, eg. controllers, discrete dynamical systems: synchronous data flow languages (n-synchronous if need for complex or distributed systems)



VISION: INTEGRATE FORMAL METHODS IN THE DEV. CYCLE Key elements – Our philosophy : Code Generation – Semantics layer

- Model is the source Its semantics shall be preserved and lead to final code
- Requirements are formal and drive the analyses



COCOSIM ARCHITECTURE



COCOSIM 2 – AN OPEN SYSTEM



FRONTEND: PREPROCESSING

Transform a Simulink model with 'fancy' blocks to one that uses basic Simulink block



MIDDLE-END: COMPILATION TO LUSTRE

- Translate discrete-time non-ambiguous part of Simulink (the controller).
- Goal: preserve semantics of Simulink.

Simulink model



Lustre program

```
node A(x,y) returns(s);
let ... tel
node B(s,u) returns(v);
let ... tel
node C(z) returns(u,w);
let ... tel
node Root(x,y,z) returns(v,w);
var s, u;
let
s = A(x,y);
v = B(s,u);
(u,w) = C(z);
tel
```

BACKENDS



SIMULINK GUIDANCE AND CONTROLS SYSTEM FOR THE TCM



REQUIREMENTS AS SYNCHRONOUS OBSERVERS 1/2 As a boolean predicate

- assume BL >= 0.0;
- assume TL > BL;
- guarantee TL >= YOUT and YOUT >= BL;



REQUIREMENTS AS SYNCHRONOUS OBSERVERS 2/2

Assume-Guarantee contracts



$(BL < TL) \implies (BL <= YOUT <= TL)$

FEATURES / AVAILABLE TOOLS

- Code generation
 - * ADA, C, Rust
- Model-checking
 - * Zustre (LustreC + Z3/Spacer): PDR
 - * Kind2: PDR + k-induction
- Test generation
 - * enumerating coverage condition and searching for models
- Requirements elicitation with FRET
- Static Analysis (not integrated yet)
 - * computation of non linear invariants
 - ellipsoids, semi-algebraic sets
 - templates / support functions / policy iterations
- Semantic preservation Proof revalidation at code level (ongoing)
- Numerical accuracy (ongoing)
 - * Floating point analyses (zonotopes)
 - Floating point optimization
- Simulation / Hybrid system analyses (ongoing)

CONCLUSION

- Simulink is used and widespread
 - \rightarrow a good target to support MBSE VV
- Model semantics shall be precise enough to be executable
- CocoSim provides
 - * expression of requirements as model components
 - * test generation
 - * State-of-the-art formal methods
- Future work: extensions to hybrid systems



https://github.com/NASA-SW-VnV/CoCoSim

CONCLUSION

- Simulink is used and widespread
 - \rightarrow a good target to support MBSE VV
- Model semantics shall be precise enough to be executable
- CocoSim provides
 - * expression of requirements as model components
 - * test generation
 - * State-of-the-art formal methods
- Future work: extensions to hybrid systems



https://github.com/NASA-SW-VnV/CoCoSim Thank you! Questions ?