Summary

# Kinetic simulations and regression inference of plasma environment parameters

**Richard Marchand** 

with contributions from

 A. Olowookere, G. Liu, J. Guthrie, University of Alberta, and
 S. Marholm, University of Oslo

> SPINE meeting June 8 - 10, 2021

> > 1/13

化口下 化间下 化医下不良下

Beyond analytic

Examples

Summary





## The problem

- Many satellites carry Langmuir probes.
- Density and temperature are not measured directly.
- They are inferred from measured currents vs. voltages.



CHAMP satellite



#### China Seismoelectromagnetic Satellite

## Common assumptions made in Theory

- $r \ll \lambda_D$ , or  $r \gg \lambda_D$ ,
- Stationary electrons
- Either  $v_i \gg v_{i th}$  or  $v_i \ll v_{i th}$
- $\vec{B} = 0$ , or strongly magnetised plasma,
- collisionless, or strongly collisional plasma,
- Maxwellian background distribution
- For a cylindrical probe,  $I \gg \lambda_D$
- Uniform plasma background, stationary or supersonic
- No nearby objects
- No photoelectron or secondary electron emission

Problem: Some of these assumptions are not satisfied.

Summary

### Example analytic formulas: OML

Small spherical probe:

$$V < 0$$
  $I_{net} \simeq \pi nea^2 v_{id} \left( 1 - \frac{eV}{mv_{id}^2/2} \right)$  if  $v_{id} \gg v_{ith}$ 

$$I_{net}\simeq nea^2\sqrt{rac{8\pi kT_i}{m_i}}\left(1-rac{eV}{kT_i}
ight)$$

$$V > 0$$
  
 $I \simeq nea^2 \sqrt{\frac{8\pi kT_e}{m_i}} \left(1 + \frac{eV}{kT_e}\right)$ 

$${
m if} \; v_{ed} \ll v_{e \; th} \ eV > kT_e$$

if  $v_{id} \ll v_{i th}$  $|eV| > kT_i$ 

Long thin cylindrical probe

$$V > 0$$
  $I_{net} \simeq -n_e e A \sqrt{\frac{kT_e}{2\pi m_e}} \left(1 + \frac{e(V_f + V_b)}{kT_e}\right)^{\beta},$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

if  $v_{ed} \ll v_{eth}$  $eV > kT_e$ 

Summary

#### What are the alternatives?

To be practical, these options must:

- Be more accurate than analytic expression.
- Account for more physical processes and general conditions under which measurements are made, must be accounted for.
- Be fast, capable of inferring n, T, ..., with modest computing resources.

This rules out direct multi-physics 3D simulations, which would take too much computer resources and time.

## Solution

- Construct *solution libraries* consisting of low level (L1B) measurements, with corresponding known plasma parameters.
- Use these libraries to train and validate inference models.

Options:

- Libraries constructed experimentally if accurate, validated data are available.
- *Synthetic data* made with simulations accounting for more physical processes and more realistic geometry than possible in theoretical models.

Beyond analytic

Examples

# Regression

Interpolate physical plasma parameters  $(n_e, T_e, V_S, ...)$  in a multivariate space of physical measurements  $(I_i)$ .

• Deep learning neural network. (Chalaturnyk, Marchand, Frontiers Phys. 2019)

• Radial basis functions (RBF).

$$egin{array}{rcl} ec{Y} &\simeq& \sum_{i=1}^N a_i G\left(ert ec{X} - ec{X}_i ert
ight) \ ec{Y}_j &=& \sum_{i=1}^N a_i G\left(ert ec{X}_j - ec{X}_i ert
ight). \end{array}$$



### Two possible approaches with RBF

- Model 1: OML-like analytic, corrected with with multivariate regression.
- Model 2: Direct regression, no analytic bias.

In each case:

- Construct a data set with *n*-tuples of currents and corresponding plasma parameters (*n<sub>e</sub>*, *T<sub>e</sub>*, *V<sub>f</sub>*, ...)
- Use regression to construct an inference model for selected parameters.
- Train with a subset of the solution library.
- Validate with the remaining subset.



<sup>1</sup>Olowookere, Marchand, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. DOI: 10.1109/TPS.2020.3045366

3

## Example 2 - Fixed-bias probes, $n_e/\sqrt{T_e^2}$





10/13

### Example 2 - Application to Visions-2 data

Training with langmuirproject/langmuir github (S. Marholm)

Guthrie, et al., Measurement Science and Technology, accepted, 2021



## Summary

- The century-old problem of inferring physical parameters from Langmuir probe measurements remains challenging.
- Are regression-based models trained with synthetic data from kinetic simulations, ready to be included among techniques used to infer plasma and spacecraft parameters from Langmuir probe measurements?
- Answer:

## Summary

- The century-old problem of inferring physical parameters from Langmuir probe measurements remains challenging.
- Are regression-based models trained with synthetic data from kinetic simulations, ready to be included among techniques used to infer plasma and spacecraft parameters from Langmuir probe measurements?
- Answer: Yes.