

**>Does M2Doc has any bi-directional capability? In other words, could you change the model from the documents?**

No. It only allows to export information from the model to a document.

**> Could your templates be interesting in other contexts? Shared between company or industry?**

Yes. The objective of getting hands-on with M2Doc was to check if the templates can be used for formal project reviews and to disseminate information about the model among the stakeholders.

**>What were the reasons for generating (Word) documents with requirements rather than sharing the requirements in another digital format that is more explicit (model-based), made possible by the way they are managed?**

For contract between ESA and industry, documents are needed to review the process of an activity/project. The templates that were coded were not aim to share requirements but to justify the concept with what had been modelled.

**>Thank you for the informative presentation. I find it strange that you discovered operational activities that were not realized only when exporting the document. Did you reflect about it? Does it indicate something missing in the modeling method (Arcadia)?**

As my knowledge in MBSE was not very deep at the start of this project, I learnt by doing. I wanted to highlight that specific case because it allowed me to close the loop of validating the progress on the model. I think it helps to the learning process.

**>The KPI on the models are very interesting (nb of artefacts, failed checks, ...), could the list be "standardised" in order to have QA indication on the models ?**

I think it is a very good idea. It can be done and it will help the QA of the model. This KPIs would have to be different depending on the methodology used.