

# AUTOCODING WORKING GROUP Automatic Code Generation for AOCS Flight SW

Davide Oddenino 15<sup>th</sup> ADCSS, 16/11/2021

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For ESA Official Use Only





- Background Autocoding Working Group
- Vol I Process: Autocoding generation process
- Vol. II Technology: Modelling Guidelines
- Conclusions





- Background Autocoding Working Group
- Vol I Process: Autocoding generation process
- Vol. II Technology: Modelling Guidelines
- Conclusions



## **Autocoding Working Group - Objectives**

- esa
- The purpose of the Autocoding Working Group is to prepare the ESA Autocoding Handbook to define for the AOCS Flight SW Cat. B:
- the **technology** (modelling guidelines, impact of the code generator, etc...)
- the process (GNC algorithm development process and application software process covering all the lifecycle up to V&V)
- to be used when creating models and generating flight code with the objective to ensure the generated code is **correct, reliable**, <u>readable</u>, <u>sharable/reusable</u> and <u>maintainable</u>.

The intended use of the guidelines are the following ones:

- Use in **support to projects** providing an harmonized ESA position across the Agency.
- Use in **R&D technology** activities.
- Promotion of the use of this type of methodology across the phases of a development.
- Contribution to the **assessment of the quality** of the final software product

## SAVOIR Autocoding Working Group





#### I. Vol I development and verification process:

- Verification & Validation process review wrt manual coding
- Automatic steps and available tools (possible improvements, customization) guidelines
- Deliverables (comparison SW dev flow)
- Test reporting guidelines (templates, content description)

#### **Compliance with existing standards:**

- Cross check/mapping of ECSS requirements to the Autocoding V&V process
- Comparison with Autocoding processes as proposed/implemented on different projects

## II. Vol II Modelling guidelines (AOCS modelling):

- Define modelling guidelines
- Configuration of code generation toolboxes
- Classification of guidelines





- Background Autocoding Working Group
- Vol I Process: Autocoding generation process
- Vol. II Technology: Modelling Guidelines
- Conclusions



## **Process - Code generation development & Verification**





#### 💻 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 📥 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔤 🛻 🚳 🍉 📲 👫 📲 🖬 📟 📾 🛶 🔶 The European space agency

## Autocoding process overview

-----





+

8

\*

## **Development tasks – AOCS**





## Development tasks - AOCS & SW

- - -





+

\*

## **Development tasks - SW**





+

\*

## **System Reviews timeline**

- + 11

-









+

\*

## **Requirements definition**





## **AOCS Performance Verification - Option1: MIL+PoE**





#### A. AOCS performance verification MIL

- AOCS model developed following Autocoding HB guidelines
- AOCS performance (pointing, stability, sensitivity..) verified on MIL wrt AOCS requirements baseline
- Justification of AOCS design

#### **B. Code generation:**

- Code generation process configured as per HB
- Definition of AOCS Performance verification reference test cases (subset from MC campaign)
- Comparison of results MIL vs SIL → equivalence expected at last digital bit
- → The Proof of Equivalence (PoE) is mandatory to confirm performance verification on Model covers the verification of Code
- → The PoE can be achieved with accurate modelling and use of mathematical library
- → If PoE at last digit is not achieved, option 2 (see next slide shall be used)

## **AOCS Performance Verification – Option2: SIL**





#### A. AOCS development MIL

- AOCS model developed following Autocoding HB
  guidelines
- Justification of AOCS design

#### **B. Code generation:**

Code generation process configured as per HB

#### **C. AOCS Performance verification SIL:**

 Verification of AOCS performance (pointing, stability, etc..) running full MC campaign

→ The Code (final product) is used to verify AOCS
 Performance, no need of equivalence vs Model
 → Any iteration shall not allow Code modifications

- (changes through Model and Code generation)
- $\rightarrow$  Synchronization with reviews to be considered

## **Model Unit Testing**





## **SW Unit Testing**





## **Delivery of the generated code**





(9)	AOCS Code Verification and Integration (AOCS SW)	The generated and verified Code is delivered to the SW team to undergo the SW Unit Tests and SW performance tests as per standard SW development plan and the integrated in the On Board SW (OBSW) for further qualification and acceptance testing before final delivery to system for functional verification (as per standard process). It is noted that unit test a SW level have a different scope than unit test at model level (3). The UT at model level are intended to address	Environment (SVF, PIL, HWIL)
		and acceptance testing before final delivery to system for functional verification (as per	
		It is noted that unit test a SW level have a different scope than unit test at model level (3).	
		modelling aspect while the one at SW level are intended to address code coverage and	
		robustness approach as per E40C 5.5.3.2. Between the two set of UT there is a certain level of overlap and the scope of the SW UT can	
		be reduced if it can be shown that certain aspects are addressed in the model UT. It is also noted that current process allocate the	
		unit testing at SW level to the SW team, but depending on team expertise and tool chain it	
		could also be conceivable to allocate this activity to the AOCS team.	

\*

+



- Background Autocoding Working Group
- Vol I Process: Autocoding generation process
- Vol. II Technology: Modelling Guidelines
- Conclusions



## Technology: modelling guidelines, code generation



#### ▬ 二 ▮▮ \$\$ \_ ➡ ┿ ▋▋ \_ ▋▌ ▋▌ \_ \$# \_ ➡ ◙ ▶ ▋▌ ▓ \$**\$ ⊡** = **₩ `** ■ **`**

21

· e esa

## **Modelling Guidelines objectives**



#### A.Modelling guidelines scope

- *Review the guidelines category, mandatory only when no alternative exists*
- Express guidelines in terms of objectives, without providing implementation details
- Identify guidelines linked to toolbox used and those independent

## **C.Coder Configuration settings**

• The code configuration settings shall be defined and applied at each generation to avoid differences

## **D.Configuration control**

• The model versioning shall be kept in configuration control. Several methods exist to trace changes into the model (model block, each subsystem, etc..) Each guideline will be composed by the following fields:

#### **Guideline ID:**

• The ID is a unique reference for each guideline (between brackets reference to existing guideline from where it has been derived)

#### **Guideline Title:**

- The title is a short, but unique description of the guidelines area of application (e.g. `*length of names*').
- The title is used for the Prerequisites field and for custom checker-tools.
- The title text should appear with a hyperlink that links to the guideline.

#### **Priority:**

• Mandatory / Strongly recommended / Recommended

#### **Description:**

- This field contains a detailed description of the guideline.
- If needed, images and tables are included.

#### **Rationale:**

- Reasons the rule should be applied
- Mapping to improved quality index (e.g. readability, ..)
- Consequence/disadvantage in case the guideline is not applied



ID	SAVOIR-SE-050 (ID_ref:AUTO-MCR-070)
Title	Model Reference Library Blocks
Priority	Mandatory
Description	Model reference blocks shall be used at the unit test boundaries of
-	model components with explicitly specified interfaces for data type and
	dimension. Model reference with explicitly specified interfaces should
	also be used whenever a component (and its generated code) should be
	functionally independent of the parent model.
Rationale	Changes made to the SIMULINK model within the model reference are
	guaranteed not to functionally change the generated code outside of the
	model reference. Changes made to the SIMULINK model outside of the
	model reference are guaranteed not to functionally change the
	generated code inside of the model reference. This guarantee cannot be
	asserted for other modelling components (including library blocks),
	because of the way Embedded Coder attempts to optimize generated
	code within a model.



Modelling guidelines have the objective to ensure generated code is:

## CORRECT

• The code generation process shall work properly and free from errors, generated code shall correspond to the model.

#### RELIABLE

## STRONGLY RECOMMENDED

• The generated code shall be fully equivalent to the model to ensure verification is valid

## READABLE, REUSABLE, SHARABLE

- Despite no manual changes to the generated code are foreseen, the readability shall be kept for code inspection, debugging, etc...
- The possibility to reuse or share generated code (industrial organizations) shall be foreseen by code readability

### MANTEINABILITY

## RECOMMENDED

RECOMMENDED

MANDATORY

• The maintenance of auto-generated code is meant to be achieved without manual intervention, but acting on the model and re-generating the code. This possibility shall be maximized by modular architecture and traceability



- Background Autocoding Working Group
- Vol I Process: Autocoding generation process •
- Vol. II Technology: Modelling Guidelines
- **Conclusions**











→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

\*



# **IN MEMORIAM - FRANCESCO** GRASSINI