

Pipeline Development and Statistical Analysis of Bolides Detected by the GOES Geostationary Lightning Mappers

Jeffrey Smith SETI Institute

with Robert Morris (SETI), Randy Longenbaugh (Sandia Labs), Anthony Ozerov (Columbia), Tasan Smith-Gandy (Williams College), Nina McCurdy (NASA Ames), Jessie Dotson (NASA Ames)





EU-ESA Workshop on NEO Imminent Impactors Warning Coordination 13 December, 2022





What is GOES GLM?

- Geostationary Lightning Mappers (GLM) onboard the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) 16 and 17 weather satellites, operated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
- was shown to be capable of detecting bolides.
- near-hemispherical, continuous coverage with public data releases.
- unprecedented opportunity to observe meteors continually and on large scale.







Why GOES Lightning Mapper Data?

- Ground-based and LEO meteor detectors have limited sight.
 - Fine for small meteors; there are plenty to find!
 - Fireballs and bolides are too rare, only a ~handful hit the Earth per day.
 - Want hemispherical coverage => Geostationary orbit ideal









Why Do We Want More Bolide Light Curves?

NASA's Asteroid Threat Assessment Project's (ATAP) primary goal is to develop tools and models to assess the threat due to large Earth impacting bodies in order to inform responders on how best to prepare and respond.

- We need a uniformly processed set of calibrated bolide light curves in order to properly tune our entry models (originally developed for re-entering spacecraft) to ascertain what type and size of ground impact will occur.
- Most ground based camera systems have limited sky view, are not always well calibrated and easily saturate, and thus do not produce accurate disintegration light curves. GOES GLM solves these issues.

But there are also other direct applications:

- NEO meteoroid population studies.
- Statistical analysis of bolide impact populations.
- With the stereo detection nature of the GOES 16 and 17 it is possible to reconstruct the trajectory of the bolides







Damage swath: Full range of regions potentially at risk to local ground damage, from all modeled cases (including unlikely worst-case objects and all sampled impact locations). Sample average damage areas: Average blast damage areas from several worst-case high-population locations across the swath.









How We Detect Bolides

- Uses GLM Level 2 data products for detection
- Does not use GLM flashes. We cluster our lacksquareown bolides using the group data.
- Uses supervised learning to train Random Forest classifier to detect.
 - -Iterative improvements over time as we grow our training data set
- Human manual vetting before publishing at https://neo-bolide.ndc.nasa.gov





Current GOES GLM Bolide Detection Pipeline







A Bolide!





Stereo Detection Is Important



- Collecting data from multiple remote sensors is critical to inferring the • energy deposition and pre-entry characteristics of asteroids/meteoroids.
- Allows for velocity reconstruction
 - Where in atmosphere is energy deposited?
 - Trajectory reconstruction and solar system origins of meteoroid

G17 Speed = 74.56 km/s G16 Speed = 81.29 km/s Median Re-Navigated Altitude = 84.13 km











Generating Bolide Light Curves From Lightning Data

- GLM ground segment processing and L2 data products are designed for lightning studies
 - 1.Assumes non-traveling objects
 - Flashes and groups not ideal event grouping methodology
 - 2.Assumes brief flashes
 - Background subtraction increases for static sources
 - 3. Does not record full extent of bolide flash (I.e. missing pixels)

– Only downlinks brightest pixels

We have developed our own light curve reconstruction pipeline for bolides

1.Re-cluster events for bolides

2.Correct background

3.Use PRF modeling to fill missing pixels

See paper:

Morris, R.L., Smith, J.C., Dotson, J.L., Stern, E.C. and Longenbaugh, R.S., *Correction and calibration of atmospheric* impact observations in GOES GLM data. Meteorit. Planet Sci. (2022)





Seconds







Light Curve Correction Aids Proper Interpretation



Pixel Energy Time Series





• In order to study the distribution of bolides impacting the Earth, we need to remove all detection biases in the instrument and detection algorithms.



Detection Biases









Performance

- Current detection precision before manual vetting is 88% and increasing.
- Goal is to be fully automated; no human vetting necessary. Also to report bolides within a minute of the impact.
- Can rapidly reprocess all data for statistically consistent data analysis
- 4514 Bolides currently published on website (<u>https://neo-bolide.ndc.nasa.gov</u>)
 - Each bolide detection confidence rated (low, median, high).
 - Number detected is huge compared to all previously published bolides.



Bolides Automatically Detected by GOES-16 and GOES-17, June 2019 - June, 2022, total=5011



Bolides Detected by GLM and Established Showers





Distribution of Bolides Over Globe

- Want to assess accuracy of theoretical models of bolide impact distribution.
- Developed Markov Chain Monte Carlo \bullet Poisson model of bolide impacts.
- Paper in draft by Anthony Ozerov

Leonid bolide rate dependent on latitude







- Account for instrumental biases:
 - Non-global FOV
 - More massive and faster bolides expected to be easier to detect (spectra varies by velocity)
 - -Angle of incidence onto CCD will impact detection bandpass
 - A systematic bias in measured energy when using Level 2 data
- Good agreement with theoretical models





Bolide Detection Efficiency Over GLM FOV

- Bolide detection efficiency varies over field of view.
 - Alignment of bolide flash spectra and GLM bandpass varies with angle of incidence, hence location on globe.
 - GLM ground segment applies a gain correction to account for angle of incidence, we can measure this dependence.







- Bolide models indicate that the ratio of continuum to line emission flux is dependent on the brightness of the bolide, hence, the GLM gain factor is not entirely correct for bolides and we can measure a change in detection efficiency with distance to nadir.
- Our measured dependence with distance lacksquarefrom nadir agrees with the gain ratio curve.





Desired GOES GLM Bolide Detection Pipeline









Global Bolide Monitoring







	O	÷	÷	•
ĸ				
0				
/				





- A now slightly outdated paper:
 - GOES GLM," Icarus, Vol 368, 2021
- Official NASA website for fully vetted bolides:
- Experimental Interactive Bolide Data Visualizer:

 - 1.GLM, 2.U.S. Government sensors, **3.Global Meteor Network 4.IAU Meteor Data Center**



• We also have fully automatic data sets which are statistically consistent (versus manually vetted data, but statistically inconsistent, on NASA website)

Thank You

Smith, J. C., et al., An automated bolide detection pipeline for GOES GLM, Icarus, p. 114576. (2021)

Morris, R.L., et al., Correction and calibration of atmospheric impact observations in GOES GLM data. Meteorit. Planet Sci. (2022)

> https://neo-bolide.ndc.nasa.gov https://bolides.seti.org

