Embedded cloud segmentation using AI : Back on years of experiments in orbit on OPS-SAT



E. Kervennic¹, T. Louis^{1,2}, M. Benguigui^{1,3}, Y. Bobichon¹, N. Avaro^{1,4}, I. Grenet^{1,5}, F. Férésin¹, A. Girard¹

¹IRT Saint Exupéry, France – ²Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LEAT, France – ³ActiveEon, France – ⁴Elsys Design, France – ⁵MyDataModels, France

INTRODUCTION

Since 2019, IRT Saint Exupéry has been studying the segmentation of clouds embedded in satellites and designing efficient implementations of neural network models for the Cyclone-V FPGA embedded on the CubeSat 3U OPS-SAT launched by the European Space Agency (ESA).

On March 22, 2021, ESA executed experiments developed by IRT Saint Exupéry on board the OPS-SAT satellite. These neural network inferences in the programmable logic part of an FPGA are, to our knowledge, a first in orbit.

Embedded cloud segmentation has several operational advantages: embedded deletion of images that are operationally useless because they are too cloudy, reduction of bandwidth by transmitting only useful information to end users based on cloud cover.



Segmentation and hardware performance on these three targets are in Table III and Table IV, respectively.

Table III : FCN's inference performance on the different hardware targets.

To get the most out of this demonstrator, we have developed different artificial neural network (ANN) architectures and a model generated from a genetic programming algorithm called "Zoetrope Genetic Programming" (ZGP) [1].

This poster aims to summarize the work carried out by IRT Saint Exupéry on the OPS-SAT mission and to present our main conclusions on the results obtained over the years.

COMPETITIVE ALGORITHMIC ARCHITECTURES FOR CLOUD SEGMENTATION

The approach used to perform segmentation with classification neural networks was described in [2]. It involved dividing the OPS-SAT images of 2048x1944x3 pixels into 5037 small 28x28x3 pixel patches. The first dataset created in 2021 from the initial OPS-SAT data originally consisted of 15 images of 2048x1944x3 pixels for training and 10 images of 2048x1944x3 pixels for performance evaluation. Five different algorithms have been trained on this dataset (Table I) and the FCN performed the best.

Table I : Models performance (Float32) on dataset 2021 (First version).

| | CNN | HNN | SNN | FCN | ZGP |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Convolutional Neural Network | Hybrid Neural Network | Spiking Neural Network | Fully Convolutional Network | Zoetrope Genetic Programming |
| Number of parameters | 1440 | 1428 | 2666 | 644 | - |
| Layers | 2 conv + 2 dense | 2 conv + 2 dense | 2 conv + 2 dense | 3 conv | - |
| Accuracy (%) | 68 | 69 | 67 | 77 | 69 |
| Precision (%) | 70 | 70 | 69 | 75 | 65 |
| Recall (%) | 47 | 50 | 44 | 70 | 62 |
| F-Score (%) | 56 | 58 | 53 | 72 | 63 |

| Hardware target | Baseline | Cyclone V | | Myriad X | Edge TPU |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Deployment toolchain | TF | VGT | VHDL generic library | OpenVino | TF Lite |
| Quantifizati on | Float32 | FxP8.11 | FxP11.13 | Float16 | Int8 |
| Accuracy | 80 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 74 |
| Precision | 84 | 84 | 84 | 68 | 69 |
| Recall | 74 | 74 | 74 | 93 | 91 |
| F-Score | 79 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 79 |

Table IV : FCN latency and power consumption for28x28pixels patches inference.

| Hardware Target | | Cyclo | one V | Myriad X | Edge TPU |
|--|------|---------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Deployment toolchain | | VGT | VHDL generic library | OpenVino | TFLite |
| Quantifizati | on | FxP8.11 | FxP11.13 | Float16 | INT8 |
| Resource | ALM | 80% | 90% | _ | - |
| usage (%) | DSP | 0% | 99% | - | - |
| Latency over | 1 | 25 | 24 | 1 999 | 889 |
| number of patches (µs) | 5037 | 125 875 | 121 241 | 10 071 381 | 4 479 102 |
| (1/Throughput) | 1 | 25 | 24 | 252 | 889 |
| over number of patches (µs) | 5037 | 125 875 | 121 241 | 1 267 863 | 4 479 102 |
| Mean power consumption (W) | | 1,4 | 1,5 | 2 | 2 |
| Energy consumption for one patch (µJ) | | 35 | 37 | 503 | 1 778 |

TESTING THE FCN TOPOLOGY WITH DIFFERENT DATASET CONFIGURATIONS

In 2022, new acquisitions from the OPS-SAT satellite made it possible to extend the dataset to 37 images of 2048x1944x3 pixels. The new dataset is composed of 29 train images and 8 test images.

In 2023, we white-balanced the 2022 OPS-SAT dataset to account for significant solar illumination variability depending on the latitude or on the degree of off-nadir pointing. The effects on NN performance have been described in [3]. The FCN has been trained on these two new databases and performance where compared to the first training on DB 2021 (Table II).

| Table II : Float32 perfo | rmance of the FCN or | n the 3 dataset versions. |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|

| | DB 2021 | DB 2022 | DB 2023 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Accuracy (%) | 77 | 81 | 80 |
| Precision (%) | 75 | 85 | 84 |
| Recall (%) | 70 | 77 | 74 |
| E Score (0/) | 70 | 01 | 70 |

We also implemented the ZGP model on both a CPU and FPGA and computed the latency for inference (Table V).

Table V : ZGP latency for 2048x1932pixels inference on CPU or inProgrammable Logic (PL).

| | Latency for one image of 2048x1932px (s) |
|-------------------------|---|
| On-ground Cyclone V CPU | 14,91 |
| On-board Cyclone V CPU | 32,64 |
| Programmable Logic | 2,65 |

CONCLUSION

We studied algorithmic performance of tiny neural network topologies, selected the most efficient topology and trained it on different dataset versions. We identified a gain of around 10 points in precision over the years.



DEPLOYING THE FCN ON RELEVANT HARDWARE TARGETS

Three hardware targets have been selected to deploy the FCN trained on the dataset 2023, based on their ability to accelerate neural networks inference:

- SoC FPGA : Critical Link MitySoM of OPS-SAT,
- ASIC : Google Coral,
- ASIC : Intel Neural Compute Stick 2.

REFERENCES

[1] Boisbunon et al. – Zoetrope Genetic Programming for regression – GECC 2021.

[2] Feresin et al. – In space image processing using AI embedded on system on module – OBDP 2021.

[3] Feresin et al. – On-board images processing using IA to reduce data transmission – OBPDC 2020.

Deploying the network on OPS-SAT's FPGA in orbit as early as March 2021 (a space premiere as far as we know) allowed for the inference of large satellite images in less than 126ms. And the deployment of the same neural network topology on ASIC targets (Google Coral, Intel Neural Compute Stick 2) shows that the processing throughput on FPGA is 10 to 36 times higher than on manufacturer ASIC at an equivalent power consumption of 2W.

The FPGA deployment also maintains the algorithmic performance of the FCN model trained in float32 arithmetic while a larger performance divergence is identified on ASIC.

Contact : Erwann KERVENNIC

erwann.kervennic@irt-saintexupery.com