

## **2023 Clean Space Industry Days**

Progress in vision-based navigation technologies for non-cooperative close proximity operations

David REGAD – Lead System Engineer, LMO Marcos Damian PEREZ – Chief Technology Officer, LMO



### Starting point



- Previous demonstration missions have been using a combination of sensors
- All but one used markers on the target satellite

Vision: recent progress in machine learning will be an enabler for autonomous rendezvous and proximity operations with uncooperative targets



### Technology development strategy







Technology<br/>maturationDIOSSATRL 2 => 416 months



Industry partnerships Proof-of-Concept 1 ESA IOD mission Phase 0/A completed



**SAB** 

In-orbit demonstration Audacity Object detection demo SW

UNIBAP







## DIOSSA – Development steps



# DIOSSA – Space segment: camera and mock-ups



Camera with representative sensor mounted on custom made bracket



Sensor characterization



First OneWeb mock-up



Final OneWeb mock-up



## DIOSSA – Space segment: flight computer





# DIOSSA – Space segment: software

#### SW architecture



#### SW output





# DIOSSA – Ground segment: machine learning training data

Blender

Pangu v1

Pangu v2

Pangu v3





## DIOSSA – DNN models: performance tracking





## DIOSSA – Lab campaigns: Zero-G lab at Uni of Luxembourg







## DIOSSA – DNN models: Best performance

| Domain            |                   | Synthetic                              |                       |                | Lab                          |                       |               |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Algorithm version |                   | 0.3.1a                                 |                       |                | 0.2.7DE                      |                       |               |
| Metrics           |                   | Target                                 | Measured              | Filtered       | Target                       | Measured              | Filtered      |
|                   | Validity ratio    | 80%                                    | 97.7% valid<br>frames | -              | (none)                       | 68.9% valid<br>frames | _             |
|                   | Position error    | 3% of range<br>(99.73%<br>probability) | 7.6% of<br>range      | 2.14% of range | 10% of range<br>(mean value) | 5.7% of<br>range      | 3.8% of range |
|                   | Orientation error | 3 degrees<br>(99.73%<br>probability)   | 7.6 deg               | 4.20 deg       | 10 degrees<br>(mean value)   | 12.4 deg              | 7.2 deg       |



### Technology development strategy







Technology<br/>maturationDIOSSATRL 2 => 416 months



Industry partnerships Proof-of-Concept 1 ESA IOD mission Phase 0/A completed



<u>SAB</u>

In-orbit demonstration Audacity Object detection demo SW







## PoC-1 – Mission objectives

Demonstration of the key enabling transport capability of in-orbit automatic rendezvous and docking between two orbital systems

- Consortium of 5 companies
- Phase 0/A (6 months)
- LMO was responsible for proximity operations





# LMQ

## Proximity System preliminary design

- Centralized architecture with the GNC application collecting the data from the Computer Vision application and AOCS sensors
- Use of monocular cameras only (visible and thermal)
- Lidar as option (for reliability or redundancy, with impact on technical budgets)





## Proximity operations concept

#### **Definition of zones and transitions**

- In close range, use of visible and thermal monocular cameras for relative position estimation
- In final approach, 6-DoF pose estimation with visible cameras and a light source





### Technology development strategy







Technology<br/>maturationDIOSSATRL 2 => 416 months



Industry partnerships Proof-of-Concept 1 ESA IOD mission Phase 0/A completed



SAB

In-orbit demonstration Audacity Object detection demo SW

UNIBAP







## Audacity: In-Orbit Demonstration of Object Detection





- Using pre-recorded video of the NAPA-2
- In-house CAD design and synthetic images
- Running application on-board
- SW optimized to cope with limited uplink and processing power





# Domain gap





## Lessons learned

- 1. Off-the-shelf DNN models are not directly adaptable for flight computers
  - Custom DNN models need to be developed for the selected HW platform
- 2. Addressing the domain gap requires specific methods
  - Parameters tuning and data augmentation are not enough to generalize to different domains
- 3. The concept of operation used for current and past missions cannot be generalized
  - There is a consensus on the use of zones and transitions, but they are too dependent on the characteristics of the mission (size of the serviced vehicle, sensing capability of the servicer, etc.)



## Next steps

- 1. In-house development of DNN models and training process
- 2. Simulation and analysis of defined scenarios to adapt and validate the modes and associated performance requirements
- 3. Development of a Safety Assurance approach to guarantee safe proximity operation under any circumstances



# Let's have a chat!

David REGAD – d.regad@lmo.space Marcos Damian PEREZ – m.perez@lmo.space

**DIOSSA – DNN models: Evolution**  $I_M$ 



LMO Proprietary Information



## **DIOSSA – Output Filtering**

### Synthetic trajectory

#### Lab trajectory

