

THE IMPACT OF RE-ENTERING SATELLITES ON ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND EARTH'S CLIMATE

STUDY ANALYSIS & REPORT BY OHB & LEIBNIZ INSTITUTE OF ATMOSPHERIC PHYSICS

17.10.2023

LAURA SCHUMACHER, OHB SYSTEM AG CHARLOTTE BEWICK, OHB SYSTEM AG CLAUDIA STOLLE, IAP KÜHLUNGSBORN MICHAEL GERDING, IAP KÜHLUNGSBORN

The Impact of re-entering satellites on atmospheric chemistry and earth's climate // October 2023 // CleanSpaceIndustryDays

NATURAL VS ANTHROPOGENIC INFLUX

STATUS QUO

- Natural sources injection masses
 - ~ 12000 t/yr
 (11500 t by particles less than 10E-2)
 - Mass influx varies ± 6000 25000 t/yr
 - 47% Non-metallic
 41% Metallic
 13% Metalloid elements
 8% not-assigned
- <u>Current anthropogenic injection</u>
 - ~ ~ 890 t/yr (in 2019)
 - 87% rocket bodies (702t)
 primary Al-alloys, CFK, unburned propellant
- OHB future anthropogenic estimation
 - Satellites 4000 t/yr
 primary Al-alloys, Fe- and Ni-alloys, Si, Ti, Mg, Cu



25000

20000

15000

10000

5000

Mass/1

SATELLITE RE-ENTRY CONCEPT

STATUS QUO – NECESSITY OF ACTION





Atmosphere and reentry trajectory concept

- Main Demise Zone is in Mesosphere and Stratosphere
- Relevant forces and degree of demise can be simulated
 Calculation based on:
 - Standard Global Reference Atmospheric Model
 I.e.: atmospheric density as a function of geodetic altitude relative to an oblate Earth.
 - Complex dependencies on spacecraft design, i.e. drag coefficient for cylindrical, spherical, boxes, and flat
- Atmospheric impact is different for controlled re-entry and uncontrolled re-entry



Copyright: ESA - Eduspace

ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS OF RE-ENTERING SATELLITES

STATUS QUO – AVAILABLE STUDIES



• MIT: Comparing meteor and rocket atmospheric emissions

 provides extensive literature review and explores overlaps of the effects of deorbiting space debris with known atmospheric processes coming from aircrafts, meteors and rockets

• ARA & ATISPADE study - Focused on de-orbiting satellites

 ATISPADE Report concludes that the ozone depleting and global warming effect of deorbiting satellites is negligible in contrast to the negative environmental effect of rocket launchers. The highest Antarctic local ozone concentration change reached about ~0.05%, which is negligible in contrast to the impact of anthropogenic activities causing a global ozone loss (3-4%)

• <u>Few more studies on the impact of space industry on the atmosphere</u>

Not all publicly available

References:

Jain, Comparing Meteor and Rocket Atmospheric Emissions and their Effects to Space Debris Reentries: Towards Understanding the Atmospheric Impact of Space Debris Disposal, AIAA 2022-4224, 2022, https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2022-4224

 Jessica. On the Atmospheric Impact of Spacecraft Demise. ESA. Aug. 2022. url: https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2022-4224

 Jimane Bekki et al. Powerpoint presentation about the results of ESA's ATISPADE study. https://indico.esa.int/event/321/contributions/6403/attachments/4335/6538/esa-csid-21-bekki.pdf

THE IMPACT OF RE-ENTERING SATELLITES ON ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND EARTH'S CLIMATE // OCTOBER 2023 // CSID 2023

ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS OF RE-ENTERING SATELLITES

STATUS QUO – IMPACT ON ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND EARTH CLIMATE



Future increasing space traffic in LEO will cause a greater need for cleaning the orbits after satellites EoL via de-orbiting strategies.

Higher number of ablating structures could cause potentially harmful emissions damaging the atmosphere

Environmental concerns of de-orbiting satellites:

- Ozone depletion
- Global climate change due to radiative forcing
- (toxic) materials reaching ground
- Interactions with high altitude cloud formation
- Disturbance of ionosphere (e.g. radio transmissions)
- Consequences for upper atmosphere measurements



Copyright: ESA/Ducros - 2007

TECHNICAL PART – MAIN POLLUTANTS





Effect of Re-entering Satellites on the upper stratosphere and the mesosphere-lower thermosphere (MLT Region)

Main pollutants due to re-entering:

- Al and aluminum alloys
- Carbon containing materials (CCM)
- NO as the result of combustion

Three types of injection compounds:

- Atoms and molecules (direct chemical impact)
- Aerosols (radiative forcing and catalytic impact)
- Ground-reaching parts (thermal NO)



Copyright: ESA/Ducros - 2007

Frictional heating during re-entry generates NO that affects

Four scenarios were modelled for atmospheric NO influx:

- <u>No anthropogenic mass injection</u> Run (1): unperturbed run represents the **unaffected atmosphere** (i.e., no additional NO input).
- <u>Current anthropogenic mass injection</u>
 Run (2): mass of objects re-entered in 2021
 Re-entry of 515 objects with a total mass of 271.1 t/yr.
- Future anthropogenic mass injection Runs (3) and (4) future prospective scenarios
 1251 t/yr and 4033 t/yr intruded mass of objects, consequently of NO.

INJECTION OF ANTHROPOGENIC MATERIALS INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

TECHNICAL PART – NITROGENOXIDE (NO) INFLUX SCENARIOS - CALCULATED BY CTM-IAP MODEL







Figure shows deviations in ozone concentration for Run (4) with an injection of 4033 tons per year intruded mass of objects in Decembre and 53.75° N

(injection estimates from Schulz and Glassmeier, 2021)

TECHNICAL PART – NITROGENOXIDE (NO) INFLUX SCENARIOS - CALCULATED BY CTM-IAP MODEL

Nitrogenoxide (NO) Influx model results

Prediction of <u>negative AND positive</u> effects on ozone of no more than ~0.05%.

Distributions of effects are strongly non-linear and have latitudinal and seasonal dependence but are still low.

Variation of ozone concentration during anthropogenic material injection (~0.05%) -> <u>small in comparison</u> to natural ozone variation (seasonal (~50%) and solar cycle changes (~5%))

Moreover: Production of NO by re-entry is much smaller than ground-based anthropogenic production changes.







Figure shows difference in deviations in ozone concentration for Run (4) with an injection of 4033 tons per year intruded mass of objects in Decembre and 53.75° N

(injection estimates from Schulz and Glassmeier, 2021)

TECHNICAL PART – EFFECTS BY AL2O3 AND CARBON AEROSOLS – LITERATURE STUDY

- In the atmosphere, Al_2O_3 will further condensate and form larger (Al_2O_3) clusters (aerosols).
 - Aerosols absorb solar radiation, modify the thermal regime of the stratosphere and mesosphere
 - Effect estimated to be 10⁻³ W/m² on global annual scale.
 - Natural variations of the total solar irradiance due to season is 1321W/m² 1412W/m² (says by about 90W/m²) and due to solar cycle is 1360W/m² to 1362W/m² (says by about 2W/m²)

- **Carbon** aerosols modify radiative balance and consequently determine the thermal regime of the atmosphere.
 - BC aerosols act as catalysts for ozone chemistry.

Expected radiative effect by intruded aerosols (black carbon & aluminum alloys), estimated to be small compared to the natural variability
Natural variability = solar radiation due to the Earth's rotation around the Sun & to solar cycle.



TECHNICAL PART – FUTURE EXTENSION NEEDED FOR A COMPLETE ANALYSIS

- Shown: dedicated analysis/estimation for intrusion of NO and aerosol radiative effects
- Needed: More and/or improved process understanding determined by laboratory experiments and model extensions, e.g.,
 - Combustion model for re-entering anthropogenic bodies
 - Model of Al₂O₃ condensation
 - Model of chemistry on aerosols
 - Interactive coupling between chemical-dynamical responses and radiation
 - composition and gasification rates of re-entering object
 - 3D aerosol distributions by size and concentrations
 - the optical properties of aerosols
 - chemical processes on aerosols surface
 - among others ...



OHB INVOLVEMENT AND PROJECTS



- Debris mitigation strategies
- Active debris removal strategies and concepts
- Design for demise and removal
- Controlled vs uncontrolled re-entry and atmospheric impacts (and impact on ocean at point nemo)
- Space debris modelling, M/OD Hazard
- Identification of all materials in a spacecraft and their impact on the atmosphere
- Consideration of climate change induced alteration atmospheric composition

C	OHB-Involvement & Projects	Person of Contact
Z	Zero Debris Charter	Kate Lahaie and Christiane Bergemann
C	OHB Space Debris Centre	Charlotte Bewick, Kate Lahaie
F	PoC-1 as steppingstone for RVD n-orbit	Marc Scheper, Birk Wollenhaupt and Laura Schumacher
C	Design for Demise (D4D)	Bradley Lockett and Britta Ganzer
	DRAMA, Space Debris Modelling, M/OD Hazard	Bayrem Zitouni and Sara De Masi







THANK YOU & TAKE CARE!

OHB SYSTEM AG

LAURA SCHUMACHER UNIVERSITÄTSALLEE 27-29 28359 BREMEN GERMANY LEIBNIZ INSTITUTE OF ATMOSPHERIC PHYSICS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF RO

CLAUDIA STOLLE HLOSSST. 6

The Impact of re-entering satellites on atmospheric chemistry and earth's climate // October 2023 // Laura Schumacher

ATMOSPHERIC IMPACT OF DE-ORBITING OBJECTS



LITERATURE OVERVIEW – NON-EXHAUSTIVE

- Jan-Steffen Fischer and Stefanos Fasoulas. "Analyse der ökologischen Bilanz von Raumtransportsystemen unter Berücksichtigung des gesamten Leben-szyklus". In: Sept. 2022. DOI: 10.25967/570083.
- Jan-Steffen Fischer, Adam Pagan, and Stefanos Fasoulas. "Ecological Impact of Re-Entering Launcher Structures in Comparison to Natural Sources". In: June 2022
- Elwyn Sirieys et al. Space sustainability isn't just about space debris: On the atmospheric impact of space launches. 2022.
- Jamie D. Shutler et al. "Atmospheric impacts of the space industry require oversight". In: Nature Geoscience 15.8 (Aug. 2022), pp. 598-600. DOI: 10. 1038/s41561-022-01001-5.
- Robert G Ryan et al. "Impact of rocket launch and space debris air pollutant emissions on stratospheric ozone and global climate". In: Earth's Future 10.6 (2022), e2021EF002612.
- Slimane Bekki et al. "Environmental impacts of atmospheric emissions from spacecraft re-entry demise". In: ESA Clean-Space Industrial Days (2021).
- Asha Jain and Daniel E Hastings. "Comparing Meteor and Rocket Atmo-spheric Emissions and their Effects to Space Debris Reentries: Towards Un-derstanding the Atmospheric Impact of Space Debris Disposal". In: AS-CEND 2022. 2022, p. 4224.
- Leonard David. Space junk threat: Researchers working to reduce impact of falling debris. Website accessed on 02.05.2023. Sept. 2022. URL: https:// www.space.com/space-junk-threat-research-reduce-impact.
- Seong-Hyeon Park et al. "Re-entry survival analysis and ground risk assess-ment of space debris considering by-products generation". In: Acta Astro-nautica 179 (2021), pp. 604-618.
- Leonard Schulz and Karl-Heinz Glassmeier. "On the anthropogenic and nat-ural injection of matter into Earth's atmosphere". In: Advances in Space Research 67.3 (2021), pp. 1002-1025.
- Tobias Lips et al. "Comparison of ORSAT and SCARAB reentry survival results". In: 4th European Conference on Space Debris. Vol. 587. 2005, p. 533.
- Seong-Hyeon Park, Stefano Mischler, and Kneope Leyland. "Re-entry anal-ysis of critical components and materials for design-for-demise techniques". In: Advances in Space Research 68.1 (2021), pp. 1-24
- Tyrrel W Smith, John R Edwards, and Daniel Pilson. Summary of the im-pact of launch vehicle exhaust and deorbiting space and meteorite debris on stratospheric ozone. Tech. rep. TRW INC REDONDO BEACH CA ELEC-TRONICS and TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS, 1999.
- Jessica. On the Atmospheric Impact of Spacecraft Demise. Website accessed on 12.05.2023. ESA. Aug. 2022. URL: https://blogs.esa.int/cleanspace/2022/08/11/on-the-atmospheric-impact-of-spacecraft
 demi se upon-reentry/.
- Slimane Bekki et al. Powerpoint presentation about the results of ESA's ATISPADE study. Website accessed on 29.03.2023. 2021. URL: https://indico.esa.int/event/321/contributions/6403/attachments/4335/6538/esa-csid-21-bekki.pdf.
- Nodir Adilov, Peter J. Alexander, and Brendan M. Cunningham. "An economic Kessler Syndrome: A dynamic model of earth orbit debris". In: Eco-nomics Letters 166 (May 2018), pp. 79-82. DOI: 10.1016/j. econlet. 2018. 02.025.
- ESA, re-entry predictions, https://reentry.esoc.esa.int/home