A Nanodosimetric Study of Lunar Radiation in the Organs of Astronauts

<u>J. W. Archer^{1*}</u>, M. J. Large¹, D. Bolst¹, D. Sakata³, H. N. Tran⁴, V. Ivantchenko^{5,6}, K. P. Chatzipapas⁴, A. B. Rosenfeld¹, S. Incerti⁴, J. M. C. Brown^{2,1} and S. Guatelli¹

¹Centre for Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia
 ²Optical Sciences Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia
 ³Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
 ⁴University of Bordeaux, CNRS, LP2I Bordeaux, UMR 5797, F-33170 Gradignan, France
 ⁵CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
 ⁶Princeton University, USA



UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG AUSTRALIA

*email: archerj@uow.edu.au

Outline



Multiscale Lunar Simulation



Radiobiological Validation



Space Microdosimetry



Multiscale Lunar Nanodosimetry Simulation

Space Radiation





Considered one of the greatest and most uncertain risks for long-term space missions ¹

[1] – Cucinotta and Durante, 2006. The lancet oncology 7(5)



Multiscale Lunar Nanodosimetry Simulation





I Backscattered Lunar Radiation



- The moon was modelled as four concentric spherical shells
 - Composition and thickness of each shell based on borehole data ^{4,5}

<u>Layer 4</u> >224 cm

1.79 g/cm³ 42.636%

20.218% 11.688% 7.707%

7.598% 6.091%

3.198% 0.346% 0.255% 0.146%

0.109%

0.004%

0.003% 0.001% 0.000%

• Particles propagated to a depth of 10 m

	Depth: Density:	<u>Layer 1</u> 0 – 22 cm 1.76 g/cm ³	<u>Layer 2</u> 22 – 71 cm 2.11 g/cm ³	<u>Layer 3</u> 71 – 224 cm 1.78 g/cm ³
	0	41.739%	41.557%	42.298%
H	Si	19.026%	18.955%	19.668%
	Fe	13.496%	14.030%	12.277%
	Ca	7.541%	7.668%	8.020%
	AI	6.061%	5.977%	7.384%
	Mg	6.162%	6.026%	6.156%
	Ti	5.144%	4.905%	3.380%
	Na	0.292%	0.313%	6.026%
	Cr	0.287%	0.309%	0.264%
	Mn	0.176%	0.178%	0.152%
	к	0.067%	0.074%	0.086%
	Gd	0.004%	0.004%	0.004%
	Sm	0.003%	0.003%	0.003%
	Th	0.001%	0.000%	0.001%
	Eu	0.001%	0.001%	0.001%

- Particles leaving the lunar surface stored in a phase space file (PSF)
- Referred to as *backscattered lunar radiation* (BLR)

[4] – Mesick, et. al., 2018. *Earth and Space Science*, 5(7)
[5] – McKinney, et. al., 2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets.* 11(6)

I) Backscattered Lunar Radiation UNIVERSIT



Zenith Angle Distribution



JGONG

Multiscale Lunar Nanodosimetry Simulation







• Male and female ICRP145 tetrahedral mesh phantoms used ⁷



- Particles were scored inside different organs by considering a spherical lattice of 10um spheres
- A virtual scoring approach used to limit steps only inside organs of interest





million tetrahedra





• Radiation field considered separately for GCR and BLR









Cellular particle flux in female phantom due to *GCR*







Cellular particle flux in female phantom due to *BLR*





 Since particles are propagated through each phantom for GCR and BLR separately, we can obtain the absorbed dose in each organ:



Multiscale Lunar Nanodosimetry Simulation











- DNA damage is also scored using existing damage schemes ^{9,10}
- Both direct and indirect damage implemented





OF WOLLONGONG

AUSTRALIA

[9] – Lampe et. al., 2018. *Physica Medica*, 48
[10] – Nikjoo et. al., 1997. *Int J Radiat Biol*, 71(5)



Indirect damage most significant contribution



DNA Damage

- GCR
- Condensed history models are required to model a great majority of induced damage:







- UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG AUSTRALIA
- DSB yields similar to that of high energy protons ^{11,12}



[11] – Zhao et. al. 2020, *Biomedical Phys. Eng. Express*, 6
[12] – Meylan et. al., 2017. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1)



- Extend to higher Z GCR particles
- Assess SPEs
- Further radiobiological validation of DNA damage and induction models
 - Radiobiological experiments are underway at ANSTO...



(preliminary)

Radiobiological Validation of Geant4-DNA

Radiobiological Validation of Geant4-DNA

- DNA damage induction and repair models have been validated using the cell survival of various cell lines ^{13,14} 5 MeV p Beam Current (A)
- These assume:
 - short irradiation periods
 - higher dose rates than space
- Radiobiological data using lower dose rates are sparse in the literature
- SPE dose rates can be targeted at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO)

[13] – Chatzipapas et. al., 2023. Precision Radiation Oncology, 7(1) [14] – Sakata et al., 2020. *Scientific Reports*, **10**(1)



Radiobiological Validation of Geant4-DNA

- Locations of DSBs can be fluoresced using $\gamma\text{-H2AX}$ foci 15
- This can be simulated also using a multiscale approach:



[15] – Kavanagh et. al., 2013. *Scientific Reports*, **3**(1)

Ref. 15

Radiobiological Validation of Geant4-DNA

2 DNA Damage

• Spatial structure of DSBs can be resolved using Geant4-DNA

• Shown for 5 MeV protons





Space Microdosimetry - LGADs

<u>J. W. Archer¹</u>, E. G. Villani^{2,3}, V. Pan¹, Z. Pastuovic⁴, D. Hynds², A. M. BaniHani¹, J. Vohradsky¹, D. Bennett¹, M. Gazi², S. Peracchi⁴, S. Guatelli¹, M. Petasecca¹, M. Lerch¹, D. Bortoletto², L. T. Tran¹ and A. B. Rosenfeld¹

¹Centre for Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong

²University of Oxford

³Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

⁴Centre for Accelerator Science, ANSTO

*email: archerj@uow.edu.au

Microdosimetry at CMRP

CENTRE FOR MEDICAL RADIATION PHYSICS



• Development of cylindrical microdosimeters





- [16] Tran et al., 2017. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, **65**(1)
- [17] Tran et al., 2021. *Applied Sciences*, **12**(1)
- [18] Vohradsky et al., 2022. *Journal of Instrumentation*, **17**(3)

Space Considerations

CENTRE FOR MEDICAL RADIATION PHYSICS



- Issue with electronic noise for low LETs (< 0.8 keV/um)
- Assessing use of low gain avalanche diodes (LGADs)



[19] – Pellegrini et. al., 2014. NIMA 765
[20] – Audrey, et. al., 2012. NIMB, 288
[21] – Gibaru, et. al., 2021. NIMB, 487

- Gain depends on *induced charge density*
- Induced charge can be simulated using MicroElec models^{20,21}



LGAD Characterisation

CENTRE FOR MEDICAL RADIATION PHYSICS



 Characterised using LGADs in collaboration with the University of Oxford^{22,23}



[22] – Mulvey et. al., 2022. *Journal of Instrumentation*, 17(10)
[23] – Allport et. al., 2022. *NIMA*, 1037



Thank you!

Summary

Further radiobiological validation

undertaken





8 S Trueleus z = -3.0 to -2.75 µm - 3.0 4-- 2.5 (..... - 2.0 - 2.0 - 2.0 - 1.5 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 Position (µm) 0 --4 -0.5 -8 **≯** -8 L_{0.0} -4 0 4 8 Position (µm)



