**Attitude Estimation of Inactive Resident Space Objects from Photometric Measurements Using Particle Filtering**

Clean Space Days 2024

**ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands** October 10, 2024

Jorge Rubio Antón Adrián de Andrés Tirado Carlos Paulete Periañez Diego Tirado Hernández Ángel Gallego Torrego Diego Escobar Antón





# **Excellence and beyond**

FOUR DECADES PUSHING THE LIMITS



- **-** Introduction
- Attitude estimation from photometric measurements
- Particle filtering methods for attitude estimation
- Results
	- $\checkmark$  Case 1: unimodal posterior PDF
	- $\checkmark$  Case 2: multimodal posterior PDF
- Conclusions and future work

# **Introduction**

### **Introduction**

- Resident Space Objects (RSOs) characterisation
	- New Space era  $\longrightarrow$  space traffic  $\uparrow$  space debris  $\uparrow$
	- Mitigate the proliferation of space debris
	- Holistic characterisation of RSOs
	- Estimate size, shape, materials, rotation, attitude...
	- Use ground-based sensors (telescopes, radars) for observations
- Applications in the context of SST & SEP
	- Mission analysis and design
		- Active Debris Removal (ADR)
		- In-Orbit Servicing (IOS)
	- -Address uncontrolled re-entries
	- -Verify contingency attitude modes



© RACAB © Indra



© ClearSpace SA

### **Attitude estimation from photometric measurements**

# **Attitude estimation from photometric measurements**

### **Light curves**



- Apparent magnitude: 
$$
m = -2.5 \log \left( \frac{I_o}{I_{ref}} \right)
$$



# **Attitude estimation from photometric measurements**

- **Typical assumptions in light curve inversion for attitude estimation**
	- -Knowledge of the RSO's shape, size and surface optical properties
	- -Knowledge of the Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD)
- **Challenges of the light curve inversion problem**
	- -Ambiguities in measurements
	- Nonlinear measurement model
- **GMV's previous experience**
	- Least Squared Method (LSM)
	- Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)
		- Reliance on an initial estimate
		- Tendency to converge to local minima

### **Particle filter**

-Approximate Bayesian estimator that represents probability densities using a weighted set of samples

- It effectively handles multimodal probability density functions (PDFs)

**Formulation**

- The posterior PDF at time  $k$  is approximated by:

$$
p(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{z}_{1:k}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} w_k^i \delta(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_k^i)
$$

- Principle of importance sampling  $\longrightarrow$  weights. Samples are drawn from a proposal density q.

$$
w_k^i \propto w_{k-1}^i \frac{p(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}_k^i)p(\mathbf{x}_k^i|\mathbf{x}_{k-1}^i)}{q(\mathbf{x}_k^i|\mathbf{x}_{k-1}^i,\mathbf{z}_k)}
$$

- If the importance density is chosen to be the prior:

$$
q(x_k^i|x_{k-1}^i, \mathbf{z}_k) = p(x_k^i|x_{k-1}^i) \longrightarrow w_k^i \propto w_{k-1}^i p(\mathbf{z}_k|x_k^i)
$$

© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 10** and 200 and 200

- **Generic particle filter**
	- -Sequential Importance Sampling (SIS) algorithm + Resampling



### **Developed particle filter**

-Estimates the attitude of the RSO at a specific time

### -**Pseudo-batch algorithm**

- Selects measurements from light curve subtracks
- Updates particle weights according to the RMSE
- Advantage: reduces the occurrence of local minima
- **Reinitialisation** in the first iteration
	- Moves particles with higher RMSE to regions of higher probability
	- Recomputes the weights of the new particles
	- Advantage: refines the initial posterior PDF



**Generic vs. developed particle filters**



#### Generic (sequential) PF Pseudo-batch PF

Yaw and pitch angles

Yaw [deg]

Pitch and roll angles

Pitch [deg]

 $-50$  $\overline{0}$ 

 $-50$  $\overline{0}$ -50

 $\theta$ 

 $50<sup>°</sup>$ 

### **Advantages**

Less local minima Higher accuracy Better computational performance Noisy real measurements

Very high flexibility

#### **High-fidelity simulator of photometric observations**

-GMV's *Grial* tool, implemented in Java using OpenGL.

- Calculates contributions to reflected light from each illuminated and visible pixel on a 3D shape and aggregates these contributions

- -Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Functions (BRDFs)
	- Cook and Torrance (specular reflection)
	- Lommel-Seeliger (diffuse reflection)
- Post-processing of the posterior PDF
	- Cluster analysis to identify the modes of the resulting posterior PDF
	- Clustering algorithm: Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN)
	- -Analysis of PDF modes to identify the most probable attitude



### **Previous considerations**

### **General considerations**

- -Estimate the initial attitude of an RSO from simulated observations
- -Assume that the RSO's shape, size and surface optical properties, as well as the AOD, are known
- Assume that the uncontrolled RSO follows a spinning attitude law over the tracking duration
- Spinning attitude law parameters: RSO's orientation, spin rotation axis and spin angular velocity
- -Additional assumptions:
	- Spin angular velocity can be estimated using a period-finding method (e.g. Lomb-Scargle periodogram)
	- In the long-term, the RSO eventually rotates about its principal axis of maximum inertia (flat spin)
- **RSO model properties**
	- Low Earth Orbit (LEO) at an altitude of 2000 km
	- Satellite's platform optical properties:  $K_d = 0.15$ ,  $K_s = 0.7$
	- Solar array optical properties:  $K_d = 0$ ,  $K_s = 0.1$



### **Results**

### **Case 1: unimodal posterior PDF**

### **Case 1: light curve**



Attitude:  $x_0 = [71, -58, 19]$  deg Sensor noise:  $\sigma = 0.1$ 

#### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

Initial uniform distribution



Initial uniform distribution



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 19** Page 19

 $g_{\mathcal{W}}$ 

### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 1



 $PF$  iteration number = 1



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 20** Page 20

 $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ 

### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 2





 $PF$  iteration number = 2

### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 5





 $PF$  iteration number = 5

### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 10





 $PF$  iteration number = 10

© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 23** 

 $g_{\mathcal{N}}$ 

### **Case 1: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 15





 $PF$  iteration number = 15

© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 24** Page 24

**Case 1: cluster and posterior PDF analyses**



True attitude:  $x_0 = [71, -58, 19]$  deg

#### **Mode 1**

- $W = 0.92$   $W_N = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$
- $x_0 = [71.75, -57.54, 18.74]$  deg
	- $\sigma = [0.03, 0.02, 0.04]$  deg

#### **Mode 2**

- $W = 0.08$   $W_N = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$
- $x_0 = [84.43, 44.25, 52.91]$  deg
	- $\sigma = [0.09, 0.09, 0.10]$  deg



**Case 2: multimodal posterior PDF**

**Case 2A: light curve**



Attitude:  $x_0 = [18, 37, -12.5]$  deg Sensor noise:  $\sigma = 0.1$ 

#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

Initial uniform distribution



Initial uniform distribution



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 28** 

 $\bm{g}$ n

#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 1





#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 2





 $P_{itch}^{\left[-50\right)}\left(\frac{50}{\left<\deg\right>}\right)$ 

 $PF$  iteration number = 2

Roll [deg]

#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number  $= 5$ 





Yaw and roll angles

 $PF$  iteration number = 5

Yaw and pitch angles

© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 31** Page 31

#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 10





 $PF$  iteration number = 10

#### **Case 2A: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 15





 $PF$  iteration number = 15

**Case 2A: cluster and posterior PDF analyses**



**Case 2A: simulated light curves for the relevant modes**



**Case 2B: light curves from 2 ground stations during the same time interval**



Attitude:  $x_0 = [18, 37, -12.5]$  deg

Sensors noise:  $\sigma = 0.1$ 

GS 2: 30° N, 15° E w.r.t. GS 1

Ground station 2



**Case 2B: light curves from 2 ground stations during the same time interval**







■ Case 2B: light curves from 2 ground stations during the same time interval





#### **Case 2B: particle filter execution**

Initial uniform distribution



Initial uniform distribution



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 39** Page 39

 $g_{I\!R}$ 

### **Case 2B: particle filter execution**



 $PF$  iteration number = 1



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 40** Page 40

Roll [deg]

#### **Case 2B: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 5



 $PF$  iteration number = 5 Yaw and pitch angles Yaw and roll angles



© GMV Property – All rights reserved **Page 41** 

#### **Case 2B: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 10







#### **Case 2B: particle filter execution**

 $PF$  iteration number = 15







**Case 2B: cluster and posterior PDF analyses**



True attitude:  $x_0 = [18, 37, -12.5]$  deg



### **Conclusions and future work**

# **Conclusions and future work**

### **Conclusions**

- Understand the challenges of light curve inversion for attitude estimation
- Identify the limitations of sequential particle filters
- Develop the pseudo-batch particle filter with reinitialisation
- -Analyse simulated test cases with single and multimodal posterior PDFs
- Use stereoscopic measurements to mitigate measurement ambiguities

#### **Future work**

- Improve the computational performance of the particle filter
- Relax assumptions regarding the RSO's attitude law and physical properties
- -Extend analyses using real light curves



### **Jorge Rubio Antón**

jorge.rubio.anton@gmv.com



This project has received funding from the "Comunidad de Madrid" under the "Ayudas destinadas a la realización de doctorados industriales" program (project IND2023/TIC-28739)



© GMV Property – All rights reserved