

Stochastic assessment of destructive re-entry for LEO spacecraft

Edoardo Callegaro

03/10/2024

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

Content of this presentation

eesa

- Introduction
- Study objectives and motivation
- Methodology
 - Stochastic vs Deterministic
 - Monte Carlo key steps
- Case study
- Overview of the results
- Conclusions

Study motivations



- Understanding the impact of uncertainties in meeting thresholds for SDM compliance
 - Threshold for casualty risk on-ground: 1 in 10 000 (1*10-4)
 - Case study analysed: **1 in 12 500** (8*10⁻⁵)
- What is the effect of uncertainties when aiming for SDM compliance?



 +
 → THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Study objectives



- Better understand the effects of uncertainties during uncontrolled reentries, with application of Monte Carlo methods
- Assess these impacts through a case study
- Evaluate implications with compliance to Space Debris Mitigation

_	
_	
_	

Methodology (1/3) – Deterministic vs Stochastic



- Compared to deterministic models, Monte Carlo methods allows to:
 - Capture the impact of uncertainties
 - Provide a **range of possible outcomes**, and not a single result as the deterministic models
 - Scale the model for complex multi-variable problems
 - Identify critical factors affecting the outcomes through a sensitivity analysis

Methodology (2/3) – Monte Carlo key steps



- Monte Carlo approach:
 - Define input variables with associated probability distributions
 - Generate random inputs using sampling methods
 - Calculate the output for each scenario
 - Aggregate the results into statistical distributions (i.e. mean, standard deviation, etc.)



Image AI Generated

Methodology (3/3) – The uncertainties



Parameter	Uncertainty	Uncertainty reduction methods
Aerodynamic drag, continuum	± 10% uniform	Delivery of a dedicated CFD, panel based, or test analysis for a specific shape
Heat flux, continuum	± 30% uniform	Delivery of a dedicated CFD, or test analysis for a specific shape
Oxidised emissivity	± 25% triangular	Delivery of a dedicated test analysis for a specific material for inclusion in ESTIMATE
Specific heat capacity	± 5% normal three sigma unit	Delivery of a dedicated test analysis for a specific material for inclusion in ESTIMATE
Latent heat of melt	± 5% normal three sigma unit	Delivery of a dedicated test analysis for a specific material for inclusion in ESTIMATE
Alloys melt temperature	±30K uniform	Delivery of a dedicated test analysis for a specific material for inclusion in ESTIMATE

Case study – LEO spacecraft



- Small Sat with mass of 600 kg
- Optical payload not analysed
- Critical elements equipped:
 - 3 magnetorquers
 - 4 reaction wheels
 - Titanium tank
 - 2 electronic units
 - Battery module

			44 🔝 🌇		V 🐴 📭					
		<u> </u>			- 💻 🗉	ă ♣ 🔶	V			
New Open	Save SaveAs PDF	Import Ex	port Run Replot	Reset Remove CS	tate Help Plot	Help About Settings	Quit			
	é 🔥 🗞		Object Model Output							
		CADA	Solar_Array_Panel_1							
ARES	S CROC	SARA	- 🗋 Solar_Array_Panel_2							
	Basic Settings		- Solar_Array_Panel_3							
Simulation			Solar_Array_Panel_4							
Begin date	2016/08/23 12:00:00.000		Casing - Base							=
Comments			- 🗋 Casing - Top							
Run-ID	sara		Case - Solar Panel Side	e						
DRAMA - Default Settings	S		Case - Lateral							
Debris Risk Assessmen	it and Mitigation Analysis		- Case - Up							_
Functionality			🔶 📑 Support cylinder							
Input mode	Normal	-	← □ RW_1							
Run mode	Re-entry and Risk	-	⊷ 📑 RW_3							
Initial state			• 📑 RW_4							
Coordinate system	Cartesian coordinates (ECEF)	-	MGT_E_1			Invest 6		Emant		-
y / km	6453.2					import A	kaa New Object Remove Object	Export		
A7 800	0400.2		A.T							
y/km	878.6		A.T.	<u> </u>						
y/km z/km	878.6 -337.4		**							
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s)	878.6 -337.4 0.5551		**							
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s)	878.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1169									
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s)	878.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1169 7.7218									
z / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s)	878.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1169 7.7218 Import orbital states									
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s)	878.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1169 7.7218 Import orbital states		A.W.							
z / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /	372 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 10 551 7.7218 Import orbital states . 1.3		A.*							
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /- initial attitude	372.6		A.W.							
y / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /- Initial attitude	1332 878.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1109 7.7218 Import orbital states - 1.3		A.W.							
z / km z / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient / Initial attitude Satellite attitude Attack angle / dg	3786 3774 0.5551 .1.1109 7.7218 1.3 1.3		A.V.							
y / km Z / km Z / km dxidt / (km/s) dxidt / (km/s) dzidt / (km/s) dzidt / (km/s) Propagation settings- Reflectivity coefficient /- initial attitude Satelilie attitude Satelilie attitude Satelilie attitude	0.031 6 976.6 - - 337.4 0.0561 - 1.119 7.7218 Import orbital states - - 1.3 1.3		A.V.							
y / km 2 / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient / initial attitude Satellite attitude Satellite attitude Attack angle / deg Side slip angle / deg	1.332 876.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1199 7.7218 Import orbital states - 1.3		A 9							
2 / km 2 / km 2 / km 4 x/dt / (km/s) 4 x/dt / (km/s) 4 z/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /- Initial attitude Satellite attitude Attack angle / deg Bank Angle / deg Fragment's attitude	1332 8766 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1169 7.7218 Import orbital states - 1.3		A •							
2 / km 2 / km 2 / km 4 x/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /- Initial attitude Sateilite attitude Attack angle / deg Side sitg angle / deg Bank Angle / deg Fragment's attitude	1.332 876.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.119 7.7218 Import orbital states - 1.3		A •							
2 / km 2 / km 2 / km 4 xidt / (km/s) dxidt / (km/s) dzidt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient /- initial attitude Satelite attitude Satelite attitude Satelite attitude Satelite attitude Satelite attitude Program / deg Fragment's attitude On-ground risk Donulation rougeth sc	(3726 (3726 (3727 (A •							
y / km 2 / km dx/dt / (km/s) dx/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) dz/dt / (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient / initial attitude Satellite attitude Satellite attitude Satellite attitude Bank Angle / deg Fragment's attitude On-ground risk Population growth sc Computer the set of	1332 876.6 -337.4 0.5551 -1.1199 7.7218 Import orbital states - 1.3		A 9							
2 / km 2 / km 2 / km 4 / ktr (km/s) 4 / ktr (km/s) 4 / ktr (km/s) 4 / ktr (km/s) Propagation settings Reflectivity coefficient / - Initial attitude Satellite attitude Attack angle / deg Side slip angle / deg Side slip angle / deg Fragment's attitude On.ground risk Population growth sc Casualty.threshold / J.										

Results (1/3)



- 2000 simulations performed using random input variables
- Simulation tools: pyDRAMA and SARA used for modelling and analysis
- Analysed outputs:
 - **Total casualty area** •
 - Break-up altitude of key components (e.g., ball bearings, tanks, electronics)
 - Total casualty risk assessment

☆ pyDRAMA drama.sara, ncpus=None,	.run(config=None, dependent_variables=[], project=None, save_output_dirs=None, parallel=True, model=None, timeout=None, keep_output_files='summary', spell_check=True, create_fig=False,
arch docs **kwargs)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Runs (par	rametric) SARA analysis and return the results.
etallation Parame	eters: • config (dict or list) –
	The (parametric) SARA run configuration. If a dictionary is provided it must
	be of the following format (lists are used for parametric analyses):
bwn issues	{ 'runMode' : str
	'coord': str
25	'ell' : float or list,
AS	'el2' : float or list, 'el3' : float or list,
AR	'el4' : float or list, 'el5' : float or list.
A	'el6' : float or list,
les	}
eloper Module Reference	Alternatively, config can also be a list of config dictionaries, or any
	iterable. Each coning dictionary in this case describes one run and thus can not contain any list to expand.
	• dependent_variables (list of lists of strs) – Describe which parameters
	depend on each other in a parametric analysis. Each list of parameters
	defines one dependency. See the example below for details.
	exported project). If None the default project to use as baseline (directory of
	 save_output_dirs – Save the output directories of all runs to this directory.
	Each run will have its own numbered directory. The path to it is stored in
	output_dir in each run's config. If None the output directories will be
	deleted.

Results (2/3) – Total casualty area



Most Impacting Uncertainty:

Heat Flux: Significant influence on the casualty risk outcomes

Less Influential Uncertainties:

sualty /

- Material Properties: Does not significantly affect the simulations





Results (3/3) – Break-up altitude



- Similar variability when analysing the breakup altitude → results affected in the same way
- Variability changes when considering different uncertainties





Conclusions



- At the spacecraft level, heat flux has very high impacts → High deviations from nominal values
- The **physical properties** of materials and **aerodynamic drag** have a minimal effect at the spacecraft level
- Compliance to space debris mitigation could be compromised due to the high variability in the results



Thank you for your attention!

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For ESA Official Use Only



13