

Polarimetry as a tool for physical characterization of potentially hazardous NEOs

ESA NEO Coordination Centre, Largo Galileo Galilei, 1, 00044 Frascati (RM)

Maxime Devogele

13/11/2024

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

Introduction









The umov law is based on $P_{\rm max}$ which is occurring at phase angle higher than 80°



 $P_{\rm max}$ is unreachable, there is the need of a proxy to the umov law at low phase angles



Proxy at low phase angles?





Umov law at low phase angles









Zellner et al. 1974:
$$\log_{10} p_V = -1.000 \log_{10} h - 1.78$$

Cellino et al. 1999: $\log_{10} p_V = -1.118 \log_{10} h - 1.779$
Masiero et al. (2012): $\log_{10} p_V = -1.207 \log_{10} h - 1.892$
Cellino et al. (2015): $\log_{10} p_V = -1.111 \log_{10} h - 1.781$



Umov law at low phase angles



Cellino et al. (2015)





The Calern Asteroid Polarimetric Survey

Released more than 2000 measurements of 568 individual asteroids in Bendjoya et al. 2022

Increased the number of measurements for asteroids by ~1/3 (Lupishko 2022)









Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package





Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package





Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package





Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package





Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package





Albedos from SsODNet retrieved with Rock python package

NEOs are usually observed a much higher phase angles than MBAs



High phase angles polarimetric observations





High phase angles polarimetric observations





We can directly use the value of Pr at a given phase angle to obtain an estimation of the albedo, but we need to calibrate the albedo vs polarization for all the phase angles

Project funded by the NASA YORPD (Yearly Opportunity for Research in Planetary Defense) since 2022:

• Survey of NEOs in polarimetry

 ToPol @ Calern 	1m telescope	V<15 mag
 FoReRo2 @ Rozhen 	2m telescope	V<17 mag
FORS2 @ VLT	8m telescope	V<22 mag

- Study of the observed NEOs in photometry, spectroscopy, and thermal infra-red to improve our knowledge of their albedos
- Final goal:
 - Producing a calibration of the form $\log_{10}(p_V) = A(\alpha)\log_{10}(p_r(\alpha)) + B(\alpha)$
 - Being able to get an estimation of the albedo for an NEOs with a single polarimetric measurement at high phase angle

💻 💶 📲 🚍 💻 Η 💵 🔚 🧮 🔲 💵 🔚 🗮 🚍 📲 🔤 🛶 🔯 🕨 📲 🚼 💶 📾 🔤 🔤 🔤 🔤 🕨 → The European space Agency



AN SPACE AGENCY

20

Fitting the phase polarization curves

Phase-polarization curve models:

- $P_{\rm r}(\alpha) = A \left(\exp\left(-\alpha/B\right) 1 \right) + C\alpha$ • Exponential-linear model (Muinonen et al. 2002):
 - Pr=0 at α =0°
 - Exponential behavior at low phase angles
 - Linear trend at high phase angles
 - Pros:
 - Fit well low phase angle observations
 - Cons:
 - Cannot model non-linearities around Pmax
 - Parameters are not diagnostics (i.e. Pmin, h, α_{inv} are not parameters)
 - Parameters are heavily correlated







💻 📰 📲 🊍 💻 🕂 💵 🔚 🧮 🌉 🚺 📕 🚍 👬 🧫 🖬 🔯 🕨 📲 🚼 ன ன 🖬 ன ன ன ன ன ன 🖛

Fitting the phase polarization curves

Phase-polarization curve models:

- Lumme and Muinonen trigonometric model (Goidet-Devel et al. 1995 ; Lumme and Muinonen 1993):
 - Pr=0 at α =0°
 - Pros:
 - Allows to model the non-linearities at high phase angles
 - $\alpha_{\rm inv}$ is a parameter of the model
 - Cons:
 - Can introduce false non-linearities at low phase angles

$$P_{\rm r}(\alpha) = a \sin(\alpha)^b \cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^c \sin(\alpha - \alpha_{\rm inv})$$







Use of prior information:

• Pmin, $\alpha(P_{\min})$, α_{inv} , h are not random and are correlated



We can use that information to constrain the fit even when no observations are available at low phase angles

Example of fit



Observation of 1998 OH



Fit of a linear model with assumptions of inversion angle at 20°



Example of fit



Observation of 1998 OH

For our calibration, we only consider +-5° around the observation



1998 OH characteristics





Albedo of 1998 OH?

- 0.382 (Trilling et al., 2010)
- 0.232 +- 0.116 (Mainzer et al., 2011)

Assuming an uncertainty of 0.2 for ExploreNEOs albedo, we have:

• Pv = 0.27 +- 0.10

Consistent with the Sq taxonomy from the MITHNEOS survey (Binzel et al. 2019)

Steps to get the best calibration



Observations of 2002 QF15



Fit of the exponential-linear model to the data using MCMC routine



27

Example of fit



Observations of 2002 QF15



Fit of the exponential-linear model to

the data using MCMC routine

Model Fit with 1-Sigma Confidence Interval from MCMC Samples



Properties of 2002 QF15



Fit of the exponential-linear model to the data using MCMC routine



Albedo of 2002 QF15?:

- + $0.358^{+0.264}_{-0.152}$ Masiero et al. 2020b
- $0.428^{+0.029}_{-0.029}$ Usui et al. 2011
- $0.249^{+0.209}_{-0.144}$ Masiero et al. 2021
- + $0.241^{+0.206}_{-0.111}$ Masiero et al. 2020b
- $0.341^{+0.136}_{-0.136}$ Lagoa et al. 2018
- $0.178^{+0.140}_{-0.078}$ Masiero et al. 2017

Average albedo:

- 0.30 +- 0.04 (simple average)
- 0.32 +- 0.06 (Monte Carlo taking into account uncertainties

Radar observations of 2002 QF15



💻 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🚍 🚼 🔚 🔤 🐜 🚺 🛌 📲 🚼 🖬 🖬 🔤 🔤 🔤 🔤 🚱 → THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

esa

Radar observations of 2002 QF15











esa

Can we improve the albedo?

Lightcurves from NEOWISE



Highly useful to confirm the 46.05 hours rotation period

Reese L Williams results

Results of the radar shape model of 2002 QF15



Can we improve the albedo?

Final results:

- Ellipsoidal shape of dimensions: 2.2x1.7x1.7 km
- Equivalent diameter: 1.85 km
- Considering H=16.39 (MPC) => pv = 0.15

Reese L Williams results

💻 🔜 💵 🚍 💳 Η 💵 🔚 🧮 📰 📲 📲 🚍 🐜 🔯 🛌 📲 📰 🖬 📰 🖛 ன

Example of fit



Observations of 1990 UQ

Fit using the trigonometric model model with prior information



Example of fit







What do we know about Apollo's albedo:

- 0.203 (Trilling et al. 2010)
- $0.448^{+0.332}_{-0.188}$ (Masiero et al. 2021)



CW observation on 1990 UQ





Xavier Inosencio shape modeling results

💳 💶 💵 🚛 💳 🕂 💵 🔚 💶 🚺 🚺 🚍 🏪 🚍 🛶 🔯 🌬 👫 🏪 🖬 📟 🔤 🔤 🔤 🔤 🔶 👀

Delay-Doppler observations of 1990 UQ







Xavier Inosencio shape modeling results

💳 💶 💵 🚛 💳 🛏 Η 💵 🔚 💶 💵 🔚 🧮 🚍 🐜 🕼 🔽 👫 🚍 🖬 🚺 📰 📾 🖬

Radar shape model of 1990 UQ





D = 0.48 km H = 17.94 => pv = 0.51

Xavier Inosencio shape modeling results

🗧 💳 🕂 📕 🔚 🧮 🎞 📕 💶 🚼 🔚 🧱 🔤 🛶 🚺 🍉 📕 💥 🚼 🖬 📟 🔤 🔤 🛀 🚺 🔸 THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY







Calibration of the albedo-polarization relation





Linear degree of polarization Pr (%)

Example for a phase angle of 30°

- Pr = 0.6 => pv = 0.32 (0.25-0.41)
- Pr = 1% => pv = 0.21 (0.17-0.26)

Not defined for Pr > 5.6% (no data)

Calibration of the albedo-polarization relation









$$Pr = 5.1\% (\alpha = 30.4^{\circ})$$



Pr = 4.09% (
$$\alpha$$
 = 28.5°)

2001 RB18:

Application of the new calibration



Application of the new calibration



2000 NM:

 $Pr = 1.62\% (\alpha = 38.15^{\circ})$



Taxonomy: V-type => Expected to have a high albedo



2002 KL6:

 $Pr = 1.52\% (\alpha = 32.49^{\circ})$ $Pr = 1.16\% (\alpha = 36.85^{\circ})$ $Pr = 2.0\% (\alpha = 50.18^{\circ})$ $Pr = 2.13\% (\alpha = 51.05^{\circ})$







Pv = 0.31 (0.25 - 0.40)



Pv = 0.18 (0.15 - 0.23)

Pv = 0.30 (0.24-0.38)

Conclusions



- Polarimetry can be used obtain information on the albedo of atmosphereless objects
- In the past, the slope at inversion angle has been used
- NEOs can be observed at much higher and more diagnostic phase angles
- We started a survey of polarimetric observations of NEOs
- We developed a calibration for any phase angles that can be use with single polarimetric observations

💳 💶 📲 🚍 💳 📥 📲 🔚 🔚 🧮 🚍 📲 🥽 🛶 🔯 🛌 📲 🚼 🖬 🚍 🛥 🐏 🚱 →